arXiv:1702.04382v1 [math.NT] 14 Feb 2017

EXPLICIT RECIPROCITY LAWS FOR HIGHER LOCAL FIELDS. I

JORGE FLÓREZ

Abstract. Since the development of higher local class field theory, several explicit reciprocity laws have been constructed. In particular, there are formulas describing the higher-dimensional Hilbert symbol given, among others, by Kurihara [13], Zinoviev [24] and Vostokov [21]. Kato [11] also has explicit formulas for the higher-dimensional Kummer pairing associated to certain (one-dimensional) p-divisible groups.

In this paper we construct an explicit reciprocity law describing the Kummer pairing associated to any (one-dimensional) formal group. The formulas are a generalization to higher-dimensional local fields of Kolyvagin's explicit reciprocity laws [12]. The formulas obtained describe the values of the pairing in terms of multidimensional p-adic differentiation, the logarithm of the formal group, the generalized trace and the norm on Milnor K-groups.

In the second part of this paper [7], we will apply the results obtained here to give explicit formulas for the generalized Hilbert symbol and the Kummer pairing associated to a Lubin-Tate formal group. The results obtained in [7] constitute a generalization to higher local fields, of the formulas of Artin-Hasse [1], Iwasawa [9] and Wiles [23].

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The Kummer Pairing 4
3. The Iwasawa map 9
4. Multidimensional derivations 18
5. Deduction of the formulas 23
6. Appendix 30
References 41

1. Introduction

1.1. **Background.** The theory of finding explicit formulations for class field theory has a long and extensive history. Among the different formulations we highlight the formulas of Artin-Hasse [1] for the Hilbert symbol $(\,,\,)_{p^n} : L^\times \times L^\times \to \langle \zeta_{p^n} \rangle$, for $L = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n})$, i.e.,

$$(u\,,\,\zeta_{p^n})_{p^n} = \zeta_{p_n}^{\mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p}(-\log u)/p^n}, \tag{1}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11S31 (primary) 11S70 (secondary).
Key words and phrases. Reciprocity laws, Formal groups, Milnor K-groups.

where $p > 2$ is a prime number, ζ_{p^n} is a p^nth primitive root of unity, and u is any unit in L such that $v_L(u-1) > 2p^{n-1}$.

From these formulas, Iwasawa [9] described the values $(u, w)_{p^n}$, for every principal unit w in terms of p-adic differentiation:

$$(2) \qquad (u\,,\,w)_{p^n} = \zeta_{p_n}^{\mathrm{Tr}_{L/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\psi(w)\,\log u)/p^n}, \quad \text{where} \quad \psi(w) = -\zeta_{p^n}\, w^{-1}\, \frac{dw}{d\pi_n}.$$

Here $dw/d\pi_n$ denotes the derivative of any power series $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$, such that $w = g(\pi_n)$, evaluated at the uniformizer $\pi_n := \zeta_{p^n} - 1$; i.e., $g'(\pi_n)$. It follows, in particular, that the Hilbert symbol is characterized by the Artin-Hasse formula (1), in other words, it is characterized by its values on the torsion subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^n})^\times$.

Following the work of Iwasawa, Wiles [23] derived analogous formulas to describe the Kummer pairing associated to a Lubin-Tate formal group. This pairing is a generalization of the Hilbert symbol in which the multiplicative structure of the field is replaced by the formal group structure. Soon after, Kolyvagin [12] extended the formulas of Wiles to the Kummer pairing associated to an arbitrary formal group (of finite height.) The formulas of Kolyvagin describe the Kummer pairing in terms of p-adic derivations.

In this article, we generalize the formulas of Kolyvagin to higher local fields. Our formulas express the Kummer pairing associated to an arbitrary formal group with values in a higher local field–also called *generalized Kummer pairing*– in terms of multidimensional p-adic derivations, the logarithm of the formal group, the generalized trace and the norm of Milnor K-groups. Moreover, as in the work of Iwasawa, we show how to construct explicitly the multidimensional p-adic derivations from an Artin-Hasse type formula for the generalized Kummer pairing (cf. (3)). This shows in particular that the generalized Kummer paring is characterized by its values on the torsion points associated to the formal group.

In the second part of this paper [7], we apply these formulas to treat the specific case of the generalized Hilbert symbol. Similar formulas for this symbol are also given by Kurihara [13] and Zinoviev [24].

It is worth mentioning that the techniques used here to obtain the explicit reciprocity laws were inspired by the work of Kolyvagin in [12]. In particular, this allows for a more classical and conceptual approach to the higher-dimensional reciprocity laws.

1.2. **Description of the formulas.** Let F be a formal group with coefficients in the ring of integers of the local field $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ of finite height h. Let S be a local field whose ring of integers C is contained in the endomorphism ring of F; if $a \in C$, then $[a]_F(X) = aX + \cdots$ will denote the corresponding endomorphism. For a fixed uniformizer π of C we let $f := [\pi]_F$. Let $\kappa_n\,(\simeq (C/\pi^n C)^h)$ be the π^nth torsion group of F and let $\kappa = \varprojlim \kappa_n\,(\simeq C^h)$ be the Tate module. We will fix a basis $\{e^i\}_{1\le i\le h}$ for κ and let $\{e_n^i\}_{\le i\le h}$ be the corresponding reductions to the group κ_n.

In order to describe our formulas, let $L \supset K$ be a (one-dimensional) local field containing the torsion group κ_n and consider the d-dimensional local field of mixed characteristic $\mathcal{L} = L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$ (cf. §1.3). The ring of integers and maximal ideal of $\mathcal{L}$ are, respectively,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{O}_L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\mathcal{L}} = \mu_L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}.$$

We define the Kummer pairing (cf. §2.2)

$$(\,,)_{\mathcal{L},n} : K_d(\mathcal{L}) \times F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}}) \to \kappa_n \quad \text{by} \quad (\alpha, x) \mapsto (\alpha, x)_{\mathcal{L},n} = \Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)(z) \ominus_F z,$$

where $K_d(\mathcal{L})$ is the dth Milnor K-group of $\mathcal{L}$ (cf. 2.1.1), $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}} : K_d(\mathcal{L}) \to G_{\mathcal{L}}^{ab}$ is the Kato's reciprocity map for $\mathcal{L}$ (cf. §2.1.4), $f^{(n)}(z) = x$ and $\ominus_F$ is the subtraction in the formal group F. Denote by $(\,,)^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$ the ith coordinate of $(\,,)_{\mathcal{L},n}$ with respect to the basis $\{e_n^i\}$ of the group κ_n.

The main result in this paper is the following (cf. Theorem 5.3.1 for the precise formulation).

Theorem (Thm 5.3.1)**.** *Let $\mathcal{M} = M\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$ with $M = L(\kappa_t)$, for t large enough with respect to n. Then there exists a $d-$dimensional derivation $\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ (cf. Definition 4.2.1) such that*

$$\big(\, N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)\,,\, x\,\big)^i_{\mathcal{L},n} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}/S}\left(\frac{\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(\alpha)}{a_1\cdots a_d}\; l_F(x)\right)$$

for all $\alpha = \{a_1,\dots,a_d\} \in K_d(\mathcal{M})$ and all $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$. Here l_F is the formal logarithm, $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}/S}$ is the generalized trace (cf. §3.1) and $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}$ is the norm on Milnor K-groups.

Moreover, we can give an explicit description of $\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ as follows. There exists a torsion point $\mathfrak{e}_t \in \kappa_t$ such that for any polynomial $g(X)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{M}}[X]$ for which $\mathfrak{e}_t = g(\pi_M)$, where π_M is a uniformizer for M and $\tilde{M}$ is the maximal subextension of M unramified over K, we have that:

$$\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(\alpha) = -T_1\cdots T_{d-1}\,\frac{\overline{c}_{\beta:i}}{l'(\mathfrak{e}_t)\,g'(\pi_M)}\,\det\left[\frac{\partial a_k}{\partial T_j}\right]_{1\le k,j\le d}.$$

Here $\frac{\partial}{\partial T_j}$, $j = 1,\dots,d$ denotes the partial derivatives of an element in the ring of integers of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to the local uniformizers $T_1,\dots,T_{d-1}$, $T_d = \pi_M$ (cf. Definition 4.1.2). The constant $\overline{c}_{\beta:i}$ is an invariant of the formal group F that is determined by the Artin-Hasse-type formula

$$\big(\,\{T_1,\dots,T_{d-1},u\},\,\mathfrak{e}_t\,\big)^i_{\mathcal{M},m} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}/S}\big(\,\log(u)\,\overline{c}_{\beta:i}\,\big), \tag{3}$$

where $u \in V_{\mathcal{M},1} = \{u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} : v_{\mathcal{M}}(u-1) > v_{\mathcal{M}}(p)/(p-1)\}$ and $\log$ is the usual logarithm.

In Section 5.2.1 we also give an interpretation of $\overline{c}_{\beta:i}$ as an invariant coming from the Galois representation associated to the Tate-module κ (cf. (41)).

In [7] we specialize the above formulas to the case of a Lubin-Tate formal group F. In particular, we explicitly compute the invariant $\overline{c}_{\beta:i}$ to obtain the following higher-dimensional version of the formulas of Wiles.

Theorem ([7])**.** *Let L and $\mathcal{L}$ be as above. Let r be maximal, and r' minimal, such that $K(\kappa_r) \subset L \cap K(\kappa) \subset K(\kappa_{r'})$. Take $s \ge \max\{r', n+r+\log_q(e(L/K_r))\}$. Define $\mathcal{L}_s = \mathcal{L}(\kappa_s)$ and let $\{e_k\}$ a generator generator of the Tate module $\varprojlim \kappa_k$. Then*

$$(\,N_{\mathcal{L}_s/\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)\,,x\,)_{\mathcal{L},n} = \left[\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}_s/K}\Big(QL_s(\alpha)\,l_F(x)\Big)\right]_F(e_n), \tag{4}$$

where

$$QL_s(\alpha) = \frac{T_1\cdots T_{d-1}}{l'(e_s)\;g'(\pi_M)\;\pi^{\,s}}\;\det\left[\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial T_j}\right]_{i,j},$$

for all $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$ *and all* $\alpha = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\} \in K_d(\mathcal{L})$ *with some* $a_i \in \mathcal{L}'_s := \cap_{t \geq s} N_{t/s}(\mathcal{L}_t)$. *Here* π *denotes a uniformizer for* K.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we review Kato's higher dimensional Local Class Field Theory and introduce the Kummer pairing, and its properties. In Section 3, we introduce the different components that appear in the formulas, namely, the generalized trace (§3.1), the Iwasawa maps $\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$ (§3.3) and the derivations $D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$ (§3.5). In Section 4, we review the definitions and prove basic properties of multidimensional derivations. In Section 5, we finally deduce the explicit formulas and show how to construct them explicitly from the Artin-Hasse-type formula (3). For convenience, we included an appendix with statements and proofs of several auxiliary results needed here.

The author would like to thank V. Kolyvagin for suggesting the problem treated in this article and for carefully reading the manuscript and providing valuable comments and improvements.

1.3. **Notation.** The letters K, L and M will be used exclusively to denote one-dimensional local fields and the script capital Latin symbols $\mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ to denote higher local fields containing them, respectively.

Additionally, $p > 2$ will denote a fixed prime number and if x is a real number then $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq x$.

For a complete discrete valuation field L we define

$$L\{\{T\}\} = \left\{ \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_i T^i : \ a_i \in L, \ \inf v_L(a_i) > -\infty, \ \lim_{i \to -\infty} v_L(a_i) = +\infty \right\}. \tag{5}$$

This is a complete discrete valuation field with valuation $v_{\mathcal{L}}(\sum a_i T^i) = \min_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} v_L(a_i)$, ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{O}_L\{\{T\}\}$ and maximal ideal $\mu_{\mathcal{L}} = \mu_L\{\{T\}\}$. Observe that the residue field $k_{\mathcal{L}}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is $k_L((\overline{T}))$, where k_L is the residue field of L.

The field $L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$ is defined inductively. In particular, if L is a local field, then this is a d-dimensional local field and will be endowed with the Parshin topology (see Chapter 1 of [6] or §6.1 of the Appendix).

2. The Kummer Pairing

2.1. **Higher-dimensional local class field theory.** We are now going to describe briefly the higher-dimensional class field theory from the point of view of Milnor K–groups. This theory parametrizes the abelian extensions of a higher local field in terms of norm subgroups of its Milnor K-group.

2.1.1. *Milnor-K-groups.* Let R be a ring and $m \geq 0$. We denote by $K_m(R)$ the group

$$\underbrace{R^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R^\times}_{m-\text{times}} / I$$

where I is the subgroup of $(R^\times)^{\otimes m}$ generated by

$$\{a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m \ : \ a_1, \dots, a_m \in R^\times \text{ such that } a_i + a_j = 1 \text{ for some } i \neq j\}$$

$K_m(R)$ is called the m^{th} Milnor-K-group of R. The element $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m$ is denoted by $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$.

The natural map

$$R^\times \times \cdots \times R^\times \to K_d(R): \quad (a_1, \dots, a_d) \to \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$$

is called the symbol map and will be denoted by $\{\ \}$.

Proposition 2.1.1. *The elements of the Milnor K-group satisfy the relations*

(1) $\{a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, -a_i, \dots, a_m\} = 1$
(2) $\{a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_m\} = \{a_1, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_m\}^{-1}$

Proof. See Appendix §6.3. □

From the definition we have $K_1(R) = R^\times$ and we define $K_0(R) := \mathbb{Z}$. We also have a product

$$K_n(R) \times K_m(R) \to K_{n+m}(R),$$

where $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \times \{a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n+m}\} \mapsto \{a_1, \dots, a_{n+m}\}$.

2.1.2. *Norm on Milnor-K-groups.* Suppose L/E is a finite extension of fields. Then the norm $N_{L/E} : L^* \to E^*$ induces a norm on the corresponding K-groups of L and E, satisfying analogous properties to those of $N_{L/E}$. We recollect some of the properties in the following

Proposition 2.1.2. *There is a group homomorphism*

$$N_{L/E} : K_m(L) \to K_m(E)$$

satisfying

(1) When $m = 1$ this maps coincides with the usual norm.
(2) For the tower $L/E_1/E_2$ of finite extensions we have $N_{L/E_2} = N_{E_1/E_2} \circ N_{L/E_1}$.
(3) The composition $K_m(E) \to K_m(L) \xrightarrow{N_{L/E}} K_m(E)$ coincides with multiplication by $[L/E]$.
(4) If $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \in K_m(L)$, with $a_1, \dots, a_i \in L^\times$ and $a_{i+1}, \dots, a_m \in E^\times$, then

$$N_{L/E}(\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}) = N_{L/E}\big(\{a_1, \dots, a_i\}\big) . \{a_{i+1}, \dots, a_m\} \in K_m(E).$$

The right hand side is the product of a norm in $K_i(L)$ and a symbol in $K_{m-i}(E)$.

Proof. See [5] Chapter IV and [8] Section 7.3. □

Note in particular that if $a_1 \in L^\times$ and $a_2, \dots, a_m \in E^\times$, (1) and (4) imply

$$N_{L/E}(\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}) = \{\, N_{L/E}(a_1)\,,\, a_2, \dots, a_m\,\}.$$

2.1.3. *Topological Milnor-K-groups.* Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is a d-dimensional local field. We endow $\mathcal{L}^*$ with the product Parshin topology (see Chapter 1 of [6] or §6.1.2 of the Appendix). This topology induces a topology on the Milnor K-group as follows

Definition 2.1.1. *We endow $K_d(\mathcal{L})$ with the finest topology λ_d for which the map*

$$(\mathcal{L}^*)^{\otimes d} \to K_d(\mathcal{L}) : \quad (a_1, \dots, a_d) \mapsto \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$$

is sequentially continuous in each component with respect to the product topology on $\mathcal{L}^*$ and for which subtraction in $K_d(\mathcal{L})$ is sequentially continuous. Define

$$K_d^{top}(\mathcal{L}) = K_d(\mathcal{L})/\Lambda_d(\mathcal{L}),$$

with the quotient topology where $\Lambda_d(\mathcal{L})$ denotes the intersection of all neighborhoods of 0 with respect to λ_d (and so is a subgroup).

Fesenko proved, in [6] Chapter 6 Theorem 3, that

$$\Lambda_d(\mathcal{L}) = \cap_{l\geq 1} lK_d(\mathcal{L}), \tag{6}$$

and also the following

Proposition 2.1.3. *Let $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}$ be a finite extension of d-dimensional local fields, then the norm $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}} : K_d(\mathcal{M}) \to K_d(\mathcal{L})$ induces a norm*

$$N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}} : K_d^{top}(\mathcal{M}) \to K_d^{top}(\mathcal{L}).$$

For this norm we have $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\text{open subgroup})$ is open in $K_d^{top}(\mathcal{L})$. In particular, $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(K_d^{top}(\mathcal{M}))$ is open in $K_d^{top}(\mathcal{L})$.

Proof. See Section 4.8, claims (1) and (2) of page 15 of [4]. □

2.1.4. *The higher-dimensional reciprocity map.* In the following theorem we recollect the main properties of Kato's reciprocity map that will be needed in our formulations of the Kummer pairing. The reader is referred to [10] for a complete account on the topic.

Theorem 2.1.1 (A. Parshin, K. Kato)**.** *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a d-dimensional local field. Then there exist a reciprocity map*

$$\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}} : K_d(\mathcal{L}) \to \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{ab}}/\mathcal{L}),$$

satisfying the properties

(1) If $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}$ is a finite extension of d-dimensional local fields then the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_d(\mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{\mathcal{M}}} & \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ab}}/\mathcal{M}) \\ {\scriptstyle N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle restriction} \\ K_d(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow[\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}]{} & \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{ab}}/\mathcal{L}) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} K_d(\mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{\mathcal{M}}} & \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{ab}}/\mathcal{M}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle transfer} \\ K_d(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow[\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}]{} & \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{ab}}/\mathcal{L}) \end{array}$$

If moreover $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}$ is abelian, then $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}$ induces an isomorphism

$$K_d(\mathcal{L})/N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(K_d(\mathcal{M})) \xrightarrow{\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}} \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L})$$

(2) The reciprocity map $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}$ is sequentially continuous if we endow $K_d(\mathcal{L})$ with the topology λ_d from definition 2.1.1.

Proof. The first assertion can be found in [10], Section 1, Theorem 2. For the second one, eventhough this is a property that has been mentioned in a couple of papers, the author has not found a formal proof of it. We provide one in the Appendix §6.3. □

2.2. **The Kummer pairing $(,)_{\mathcal{L},n}$.** Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a d-dimensional local field containing K and the group κ_n. The Kummer pairing

$$(,)_{\mathcal{L},n} : K_d(\mathcal{L}) \times F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}}) \to \kappa_n$$

is defined by $(\alpha, x)_{\mathcal{L},n} = \Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)(z) \ominus_F z$, where $f^{(n)}(z) = x$ and $\ominus_F$ is the subtraction in the formal group F.

Proposition 2.2.1. *The pairing just defined satisfies the following:*

(1) $(,)_{\mathcal{L},n}$ is bilinear and C-linear on the right.

(2) *The kernel on the right is* $f^{(n)}(F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}}))$.
(3) $(\alpha, x)_{\mathcal{L},n} = 0$ *if and only if* $\alpha \in N_{\mathcal{L}(z)/\mathcal{L}}(K_d(\mathcal{L}(z)))$, *where* $f^{(n)}(z) = x$.
(4) *If* $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}$ *is finite,* $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$ *and* $\beta \in K_d(\mathcal{M})$. *Then* $(\beta, x)_{\mathcal{M},n} = (N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\beta), x)_{\mathcal{L},n}$.
(5) *Let* $\mathcal{L} \supset \kappa_m$, $m \geq n$. *Then* $(\alpha, x)_{\mathcal{L},n} = f^{(m-n)}\big((\alpha, x)_{\mathcal{L},m}\big) = \big(\alpha, f^{(m-n)}(x)\big)_{\mathcal{L},m}$.
(6) *For a given* $x \in K_d(\mathcal{L})$, *the map* $K_d(\mathcal{L}) \to \kappa_n : \alpha \mapsto (\alpha, x)_{\mathcal{L},n}$ *is sequentially continuous.*
(7) *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be a finite extension of* $\mathcal{L}$, $\alpha \in K_d(\mathcal{L})$ *and* $y \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{M}})$. *Then* $(\alpha, y)_{\mathcal{M},n} = \big(\alpha, N^F_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(y)\big)_{\mathcal{M},n}$, *where* $N^F_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(y) = \oplus_\sigma y^\sigma$, *where* σ *ranges over all embeddings of* $\mathcal{M}$ *in* $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ *over* $\mathcal{L}$.
(8) *Let* $t : F \to \tilde{F}$ *be a isomorphism. Then* $(\alpha, t(x))^{\tilde{F}}_{\mathcal{L},n} = t((\alpha, x)^F_{\mathcal{L},n})$ *for all* $\alpha \in K_d(\mathcal{L})$, $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$.

Proof. We will only prove property 6, the proof of the other properties is the same as in [12] Section 3.3, or can be found in Section 6.3 of the appendix.

Property 6 follows from the fact that the reciprocity map $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}} : K_m(\mathcal{L}) \to \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{ab}}/\mathcal{L})$ is sequentially continuous (cf. Theorem 2.1.1 (2)). Indeed, for z such that $f^{(n)}(z) = x$ consider the extension $\mathcal{L}(z)/\mathcal{L}$. The group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}^{ab}/\mathcal{L}(z))$ is a neighborhood of $G^{ab}_{\mathcal{L}}$, so for any sequence $\{\alpha_m\}$ converging to zero in $K_d(\mathcal{L})$ we can take m large enough such that $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha_m) \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}^{ab}/\mathcal{L}(z))$, that is $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha_m)(z) = z$, so $(\alpha_m, x)_{\mathcal{L},n} = 0$ for large enough m.

□

2.3. **Sequential continuity of the pairing.** In this subsection we will show that the Kummer pairing is sequentially continuous in the second argument. This will play a vital role in showing the existence of the so-called Iwasawa map. This map allows us to express the Kummer pairing in terms of the generalized trace and the logarithm of the formal group (cf. §3.3).

Before we prove the sequential continuity of the pairing we need to introduce the following notation.

Definition 2.3.1. *Let* ϱ *denote the ramification index of* S *over* $\mathbb{Q}_p$. *We say that a pair* (n, t) *is admissible if there exist an integer* k *such that* $t - 1 - n \geq \varrho k \geq n$.

For example, the pair $(n, 2n + \varrho + 1)$ is admissible with $k = \lfloor (n + \varrho)/\varrho \rfloor$. In the special case where $\varrho = 1$, then $t - 1 - n \geq \varrho k \geq n$ becomes $t - 1 - n \geq k \geq n$. So in this case, the pair $(n, 2n + 1)$ is admissible with $k = n$.

Let L be a local field. We will denote by K_n the field K after adjoining the group κ_n. For Sections 2 and 3 we will make the following assumptions

$$\text{(7)} \qquad \begin{cases} (n, t) \text{ admissible pair,} \\ L \supset K_t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L} = L\{\{T_1\}\} \cdots \{\{T_{d-1}\}\}. \end{cases}$$

We can now formulate the following

Proposition 2.3.1. *Let* $\mathcal{L}$ *be as in (7). For a given* $\alpha \in K_d(\mathcal{L})$, *the map*

$$F(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1}) \to \kappa_n \ : \ x \mapsto (\alpha, x)_{\mathcal{L},n},$$

is sequentially continuous in the Parshin topology; if $x_j \to x$ *then* $(\alpha, x_j)_{\mathcal{L},n} \to (\alpha, x)_{\mathcal{L},n}$. *Here* $F(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1})$ *is the set* $\{x \in \mathcal{L} : v_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \geq \lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1) \rfloor + 1\}$ *considered with the operation induced by the formal group* F.

Remark 2.3.1. *We will make the following two assumptions during the proof of this proposition. First, assume that* $\alpha = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\} \in K_d(\mathcal{L})$ *is such that* $v_{\mathcal{L}}(a_1) = 1$. *This will imply the result for* $v_{\mathcal{L}}(a_1) = 0$ *as well, by considering* $a_1 = \pi_L$ *and* $a_1 = \pi_L u$ *for any* $u \in \mathcal{L}^\times$ *such that* $v_{\mathcal{L}}(u) = 0$ *and* π_L *a uniformizer for* L.

Second, assume that the series $r(X) = X$ *is* t*-normalized (cf. §2.4), otherwise we go to the isomorphic group law* $r(F(r^{-1}(X), r^{-1}(Y)))$. *Thus for any* $m \leq t$ *we will assume*

$$\big(\{a, a_2 \dots, a_d\}, a\big)_{\mathcal{L},m} = 0 \quad \forall a \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}}). \tag{8}$$

Proof. We will drop the subscript $\mathcal{L}$ from the pairing notation. Let $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1})$ and $\alpha = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\} \in K_d(\mathcal{L})$ with $v_{\mathcal{L}}(a_1) = 1$. Also, let ϱ and k as in Definition 2.3.1. Let $\mathfrak{k} = \varrho k + 1$, $A(x) = a_1 \oplus_F f^{(\mathfrak{k})}(x)$ and $\alpha(x) = \{A(x), a_2 \dots, a_d\} \in K_d(\mathcal{L})$. Then

$$(\alpha, x)_n = (\, \alpha\, \alpha(x)^{-1},\, x\,)_n \,\oplus_F\, (\alpha(x), x)_n \tag{9}$$

We will show that the first term on the right-hand side is always zero, regardless of $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1})$, and that the second term goes to zero when we take a sequence $\{x_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ converging to zero. This would complete the proof.

Let us start with the second term. Let $m = n + \mathfrak{k}$. By (5) in the Proposition 2.2.1

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha(x), x)_n = \big(\alpha(x), f^{(m-n)}(x)\,\big)_m = (\alpha(x),\, A(x) \ominus_F a_1\,)_m \\ = (\alpha(x), A(x))_m \oplus_F (\alpha(x),\, \ominus_F a_1\,)_m\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Here $\ominus_F a$ denotes the inverse of a in the formal group law determined by F. From (8) in the remark above, both $(\alpha(x), A(x))_m$ and $(\alpha, a_1)_m$ are equal to zero, so we may replace $(\alpha(x), A(x))_m$ by $(\alpha^{-1}, \ominus_F a_1)_m$ in (10) to obtain

$$(\alpha(x), x)_n = \big(\alpha^{-1},\, \ominus_F a_1\,\big)_m \oplus (\alpha(x),\, \ominus_F a_1\,)_m = \big(\alpha(x)\, \alpha^{-1},\, \ominus_F a_1\,\big)_m .$$

On the other hand, since $F(X, Y) \equiv X + Y \pmod{XY}$, then $A(x) \equiv a_1 + f^{(\mathfrak{k})}(x) \pmod{a_1\, f^{(\mathfrak{k})}(x)}$ so dividing by a_1

$$A(x)/a_1 \equiv 1 + \big(f^{(\mathfrak{k})}(x)/a_1\big) \pmod{f^{(\mathfrak{k})}(x)}. \tag{11}$$

But $v_{\mathcal{L}}(a_1) = 1$, so $A(x)/a_1$ is a principal unit in $\mathcal{L}$ for every $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1})$. If we take a sequence $\{x_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ converging to zero in the Parshin topology then, as $f : \mu_{\mathcal{L},1} \to \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$ is sequentially continuous in the Parshin topology by Lemma 6.2.3, we see that $A(x_j)$ approaches to 1 as $j \to \infty$. Hence $\alpha(x_j)\, \alpha^{-1} \to \{1, a_2 \dots, a_d\}$ as $j \to \infty$, in the topology of $K_d(\mathcal{L})$. Notice that $\{1, a_2 \dots, a_d\} = \mathbf{1}$ is the identity element in $K_d(\mathcal{L})$. Then by (6) in the Proposition 2.2.1

$$\big(\, \alpha(x_j)\, \alpha^{-1},\, \ominus_F a_1\,\big)_m \xrightarrow{j\to\infty} (\mathbf{1}, \ominus_F a_1)_m = 0.$$

Now we will show that first term on the right hand side of equation (9) is zero by showing that $A(x)/a_1$ is a p^kth power in $\mathcal{L}^*$ for $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1})$. This is enough since it would imply that $\alpha(x)\, \alpha^{-1}$ is p^k-divisible in $K_d(\mathcal{L})$, and from the fact that $n \leq \varrho k$, by Definition 2.3.1, we have that π^n divides p^k. These two observations combined imply $\big(\alpha\, \alpha(x)^{-1}, x\big)_n = 0$.

To show that $A(x)/a_1$ is a p^kth power, let us start by observing that from Proposition 6.2.3

$$f^{(\mathfrak{k})}(x) = l_F^{-1} \circ l_F(f^{(\mathfrak{k})}(x)) = l_F^{-1}(\pi^{\mathfrak{k}} l_F(x)) = \pi^{\mathfrak{k}} w, \tag{12}$$

for some $w \in \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$. Then equation (11) implies $A(x)/a_1 = 1 + p^k w_2$ for some $w_2 \in \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$, since $\pi^{\varrho k} = \epsilon p^k$ for some unit ϵ. Then $\log(A(x)/a_1) = \log(1 + p^k w_2) = p^k w_3$, where $w_3 \in \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$. Again, by Proposition 6.2.3 (2), there exist a $w_4 \in \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$ such that $\log(1 + w_4) = w_3$. Thus

$$A(x)/a_1 = (1 + w_4)^{p^k}.$$

□

2.4. **Norm Series.** A power series $r(X) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$ such that $r(0) = 0$ and $c(r) \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$ is called $n - normalized$ if for every d-dimensional field local $\mathcal{L}$ containing κ_n, it satisfies that

$$(\,\alpha\,,\,x\,)_{\mathcal{L},n} = 0,$$

for all $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$ and all $\alpha = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\} \in K_d(\mathcal{L})$ such that $a_i = r(x)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq d$.

The following proposition will provide a way of constructing norm series.

Proposition 2.4.1. *Let $g \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$, $g(0) = 0$ and $c(g) \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$. The series $s = \prod_{v \in \kappa_n} g(F(X, v))$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$ and has the form $r_g(f^{(n)})$, where $r_g \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]$. Then, the series r_g is $n - normalized$ and*

$$r_g'(0) = \frac{\prod_{v \neq 0 \in \kappa_n} g(v)}{\pi^n} g'(0).$$

Proof. The proof of this Proposition is actually the same as in [12] Proposition 3.1. It can be found in Section 6.3 of the Appendix.

□

3. The Iwasawa map

In this section we introduce some basic properties of the generalized trace. We also introduce the modules $R_{\mathcal{L},1}$ and $R_{\mathcal{L}}$, necessary for the definition of the logarithmic derivatives. The main result in this section is Lemma 3.2.1 which guarantees the existence of the so called Iwasawa map, and thus giving a representation of the Kummer pairing in terms of the generalized trace and the logarithm.

3.1. **The generalized trace.** Let E be a complete discrete valuation field. Following Kurihara [13], we define a map

$$c_{E\{\{T\}\}/E} : E\{\{T\}\} \to E \quad \text{by} \quad c_{E\{\{T\}\}/E}(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i T^i) = a_0.$$

Let $\mathcal{E} = E\{\{T_1\}\} \dots \{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$, we can define $c_{\mathcal{E}/E}$ by the composition

$$c_{E\{\{T_1\}\}/E} \circ \cdots \circ c_{\mathcal{E}/E\{\{T_1\}\}\dots\{\{T_{d-2}\}}.$$

Lemma 3.1.1. *This map satisfies the following properties*

1. *$c_{\mathcal{E}/E}$ is E-linear.*
2. *$c_{\mathcal{E}/E}(a) = a$, for all $a \in E$.*
3. *$c_{\mathcal{E}/E}$ is continuous with respect to the the Parshin topology on $\mathcal{E}$ and the discrete valuation topology on E.*

Proof. See [24] Lemma 2.1. □

Suppose L/S is a finite extension of local fields. Let π be a uniformizer for $C=\mathcal{O}_S$ and let $\mathcal{L}=L\{\{T_1\}\}\dots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$. The generalized trace $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}$ is defined by the composition $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/S}\circ c_{\mathcal{L}/L}$ and this gives us the pairing

$$\langle,\rangle:\mathcal{L}\times\mathcal{L}\to C \quad \text{defined by} \quad \langle x,y\rangle=\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(xy). \tag{13}$$

Let $\mathrm{Hom}_C^c(\mathcal{L},S)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_C^{seq}(\mathcal{L},S)$ be the group of continuous and sequentially continuous, respectively, C-homomorphisms with respect to the Parshin topology on $\mathcal{L}$.

Proposition 3.1.1. *We have an isomorphism of C-modules*

$$\mathcal{L}\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{Hom}_C^{seq}(\mathcal{L},S):\ \alpha\mapsto(x\mapsto\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(\alpha x)).$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Hom}_C^{seq}(\mathcal{L},S)=\mathrm{Hom}_C^c(\mathcal{L},S)$ *since the generalized trace is continuous.*

Proof. See Section 6.4 of Appendix □

3.2. **Modules associated to the generalized trace.**

3.2.1. *The module $R_{\mathcal{L},1}$.* Let $\mathcal{L}=L\{\{T_1\}\}\dots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$ where L is a local field. Let v_L and $v_{\mathcal{L}}$ denote the valuations for L and $\mathcal{L}$, respectively. Consider $\mu_{\mathcal{L},1}:=\{x\in\mathcal{L}:\ v_{\mathcal{L}}(x)\geq\lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1)\rfloor+1\}$ and $\mu_{L,1}:=\mu_{\mathcal{L},1}\cap L$, both with the additive structure. Denote by $R_{\mathcal{L},1}$ the dual of $\mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$ with respect to the pairing (13), i.e., $R_{\mathcal{L},1}:=\left\{x\in\mathcal{L}:\ \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(\,x\,\mu_{\mathcal{L},1})\subset C\,\right\}$ and also let $R_{L,1}:=R_{\mathcal{L},1}\cap L$. Then it can be shown that (cf. §6.4 of the Appendix)

$$R_{\mathcal{L},1}=\{x\in\mathcal{L}:\ v_{\mathcal{L}}(x)\geq\ -v_L(D(L/S))-\lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1)\rfloor-1\,\}, \tag{14}$$

where $D(L/S)$ is the different of the extension L/S.

Lemma 3.2.1. *We have the isomorphism*

$$R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^nR_{\mathcal{L},1}\ \xrightarrow{\sim}\ \mathrm{Hom}_C^{seq}(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1},C/\pi^nC),$$

defined by

$$\alpha\mapsto(\ x\mapsto\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(\alpha x)\ ).$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Hom}_C^{seq}(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1},C/\pi^nC)=\mathrm{Hom}_C^c(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1},C/\pi^nC)$.

Proof. The proof is done by induction in d. If $d=1$ the result is known (cf. §3 and §4 of [12]). Suppose the result is true for $d\geq1$ and let $\mathcal{L}=E\{\{T_d\}\}$ where $E=L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$.

Take $\Phi\in\mathrm{Hom}_C^{seq}(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1},C/\pi^nC)$ and let $\Phi_i(x_i)=\Phi(x_iT_d^i)$ for all $x_i\in\mu_{E,1}$. Then $\Phi_i\in\mathrm{Hom}_C^c(\mu_{E,1},C/\pi^nC)$ and so by the induction hypothesis there exists $\overline{a}_{-i}\in R_{E,1}/\pi^nR_{E,1}$ such that

$$\Phi_i(x_i)=\mathbb{T}_{E/S}(\ \overline{a_{-i}}\ x_i\ ).$$

Let $a_{-i}\in R_{E,1}$ be a representative of $\overline{a}_{-i}$. Thus for $x=\sum x_iT_d^i\in\mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$, the sequential continuity of Φ implies

$$\Phi(x)=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\Phi(x_iT_d^i)=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{T}_{E/S}(a_{-i}x_i)\qquad(\mathrm{mod}\ \pi^nC). \tag{15}$$

Let $\alpha=\sum a_iT_d^i$ and denote by u_i the unit $a_i/\pi_L^{v_E(a_i)}$. We must show that

I. $\min\{v_E(a_i)\}>-\infty$.

II. $v_E(a_{-i}) \geq v_E(\pi^n R_{E,1})$ as $i \to \infty$ (i.e., conditions 1 and 2 imply that $\alpha \in R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},1}$).
III. $\Phi(x) = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(\alpha x) \pmod{\pi^n C}$, $\forall x \in \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$.

Condition (I) follows immediately since $a_{-i} \in R_{E,1}$, i.e., by equation (14)

$$v_E(a_{-i}) \geq -\big(\ \lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1)\rfloor + 1 + v_L\big(D(L/S)\big)\ \big) \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Suppose condition (II) was not true. Instead of passing to a subsequence we may assume for simplicity that $v_E(a_{-i}) < v_E(\pi^n R_{E,1})$ for all $i \geq 0$. For an arbitry $y \in \mathcal{O}_L$, let

$$x = y(\pi_L^{\delta_0} u_0^{-1} + \pi_L^{\delta_1} u_1^{-1} T_d + \pi_L^{\delta_2} u_2^{-1} T_d^2 + \cdots),$$

where for any $i \geq 0$ we let $u_i = a_i/\pi_L^{v_E(a_i)}$ and

$$\delta_i = v_E(\pi^n R_{E,1}) - v_E(a_{-i}) + \lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1)\rfloor \quad (\ \geq \lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1)\rfloor + 1\ ).$$

Then $x \in \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$ and $a_{-i}x_i = \pi_L^w y$ for $i \geq 0$, where $w = v_E(\pi^n R_{E,1}) + \lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1)\rfloor$.

The convergence of the right hand side of (15) and the fact that $\mathbb{T}_{E/S}(\pi_L^w y) = \mathrm{Tr}_{L/S}(\pi_L^w y)$ would imply that $\mathrm{Tr}_{L/S}(\pi_L^w y) \in \pi^n C$ for all $y \in \mathcal{O}_L$. Thus $\pi_L^w/\pi^n \in D(L/S)^{-1}$, which in turn implies $w \geq v_L(\pi^n) - v_L(D(L/S))$, that is,

$$v_E(R_{E,1}) \geq -v_L(D(L/S)) - \lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1)\rfloor.$$

This is a contradiction since $v_E(R_{E,1}) = -v_L(D(L/S)) - \lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1)\rfloor - 1$ by (14). Finally, condition (III) immediately follows from equation (56).

□

3.2.2. *The module $R_{\mathcal{L}}$.* Let $T_{\mathcal{L}}$ be the image of $F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$ under the formal logarithm l_F. This is a C-submodule of $\mathcal{L}$ such that $T_{\mathcal{L}}S = \mathcal{L}$. Indeed, let $x \in \mathcal{L}$ and take n large enough such that $\pi^n x \in \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$, then by Proposition 6.2.3 there exist a $y \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1})$ such that $\pi^n x = l_F(y)$, thus $x \in T_{\mathcal{L}}S$.

Let $R_{\mathcal{L}}$ be the dual of $T_{\mathcal{L}}$ with respect to the trace pairing $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}$, then by Proposition 3.1.1 and the fact that $\mathcal{L} = T_{\mathcal{L}}S$, we have the isomorphism

$$R_{\mathcal{L}} \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_C^{seq}(T_{\mathcal{L}}, C).$$

Let $\kappa_{\mathcal{L}} = \kappa \cap \mathcal{L}$, i.e., the subgroup of torsion points contained in $\mathcal{L}$. Then l_F induces a continuous isomorphism $l_F : F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})/\kappa_{\mathcal{L}} \to T_{\mathcal{L}}$. Thus we have the

Lemma 3.2.2. *The generalized trace induces an injective homomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \to R_{\mathcal{L}}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L}} & \to & \mathrm{Hom}_C^{seq}(T_{\mathcal{L}}, C/\pi^n C) & \tilde{\to} & \mathrm{Hom}_C^{seq}(F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})/\kappa_L, C/\pi^n C). \\ \alpha & \mapsto & \Big(y \mapsto \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}\big(\alpha\, y\big)\Big) & \mapsto & \Big(x \mapsto \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}\big(\alpha\, l(x)\big)\Big). \end{array}$$

Proof. Immediate from the very definition of $R_{\mathcal{L}}$. □

Let M/L be a finite extension of local fields containing the local field S. Let $\mathcal{M} = M\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$, $\mathcal{L} = L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}$ the trace of the finite extension $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}$. Then clearly we have the

Lemma 3.2.3. $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}/S} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S} \circ \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}$.

3.3. **The map $\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$.** In this section we introduce the so-called Iwasawa map $\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$. This map plays a vital role in the construction of the explicit reciprocity laws. The main goal in this paper is to show that, under certain conditions, the Iwasawa map is a logarithmic derivative. One of the key results to achieve this is contained in Proposition 3.3.2

Recall that we denote by $(\ ,\ )^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$ the ith coordinate of the paring $(\ ,\ )_{\mathcal{L},n}$ with respect to the base $\{e^i_n\}$ of κ_n.

Proposition 3.3.1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be as in (7). For a given $\alpha \in K_d(\mathcal{L})$ there exist a unique element $\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(\alpha) \in R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},1}$, such that*

$$(\alpha, x)^i_{\mathcal{L},n} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}\big(\ \psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(\alpha)\ l_F(x)\ \big) \quad \forall x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1}), \tag{16}$$

and the map $\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n} : K_d(\mathcal{L}) \to R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},1}$ is a homomorphism.

Proof. Let us first take α to be an element of the form $\{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$ and consider the map

$$\omega :\ \mu_{\mathcal{L},1} \to C/\pi^n C,$$

defined by

$$x \mapsto (\alpha, l_F^{-1}(x))^i_{\mathcal{L},n}.$$

By Proposition 2.3.1 and Remark 6.2.2 this map is sequentially continuous and so by Lemma 3.2.1 there exist and element $\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(\alpha) \in R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},1}$ satisfying (16). This defines a map $\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n} : \mathcal{L}^{*\oplus d} \to R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},1}$ satisfying the Steinberg relation, therefore it induces a map on $K_d(\mathcal{L})$.

□

The following are some basic properties of the Iwasawa map $\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$.

Proposition 3.3.2. *Consider the finite extension $\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}$ with $\mathcal{L}$ satisfying (7). Then*

(1) $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(R_{\mathcal{M},1}) \subset R_{\mathcal{L},1}$ *and we have the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_d(\mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},n}} & R_{\mathcal{M},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{M},1} \\ {\scriptstyle N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}} \\ K_d(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}} & R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},1} \end{array}$$

(2) $R_{\mathcal{L},1} \subset R_{\mathcal{M},1}$ *and we have the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_d(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}} & R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},1} \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{incl}}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_d(\mathcal{M}) & \xrightarrow{\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},n}} & R_{\mathcal{M},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{M},1} \end{array}$$

The right-hand vertical map is induced by the embedding of $R_{\mathcal{L},1}$ in $R_{\mathcal{M},1}$.

(3) Let $L \supset K_m$, (m,t) admissible and $m \geq n$. Then for $\alpha \in K_d(\mathcal{L})$, $\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(\alpha)$ is the reduction of $\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},m}(\alpha)$ from $R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^m R_{\mathcal{L},1}$ to $R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},1}$, i.e., the

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_d(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},m}} & R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^m R_{\mathcal{L},1} \\ & \searrow^{\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}} & \Big\downarrow \text{reduction} \\ & & R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},1} \end{array}$$

commutes.

(4) *If $t : (F, e_i) \to (\tilde{F}, \tilde{e}_i)$ is an isomorphism, then*

$$\tilde{\psi}^i_{\mathcal{L},n} = \frac{1}{t'(0)} \psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}.$$

Proof. See Section 6.4 of Appendix. □

Proposition 3.3.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ and t as in (7). Let $r(X)$ be a t-normalized series. Then*

$$(\alpha, x)^{\ i}_{\mathcal{L},n} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}\left(\log(a_1)\left[\ \frac{-\psi^i_{L,n}\big(\alpha(r(x))\ l'_F(x)}{r'(x)}\ \right]\right), \tag{17}$$

where α and $\alpha(r(x))$ are elements in $K_d(\mathcal{L})$ defined, respectively, by

$$\alpha = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha\big(r(x)\big) = \big\{r(x), a_2, \dots, a_d\big\},$$

with $a_1 \in V_{\mathcal{L},1} = 1 + \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$ and $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$.

Proof. We follow the same ideas as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 of [12]. To simplify the notation we will denote the normalized valuation $v_{\mathcal{L}}/v_{\mathcal{L}}(p)$ by v and also omit the subscripts $\mathcal{L}$ and F from the pairing notation and $\oplus_F$, respectively. Furthermore, we are going to denote by $\alpha(a)$ the element $\{a, a_2, \dots, a_d\}$ in $K_d(\mathcal{L})$.

Let $\alpha = \alpha(a_1)$ with $a_1 \in V_{\mathcal{L},1}$ and let $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$. Observe that we may assume that $r(X) = X$ since we can go to the isomorphic formal group $\tilde{F} = r(F(r^{-1}(X), r^{-1}(Y)))$ with torsion points $\tilde{e^i} = r(e^i)$ through the isomorphism $r : F \to \tilde{F}$. The series $\tilde{r} = X$ is t-normalized for $\tilde{F}$ and if the result were true for $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{r}$ then

$$(\alpha, x)^i_{F,n} = (\alpha, r(x))^i_{\tilde{F},n} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}\big(\ \log(u)\ \big[\ -\tilde{\psi}^i_n\big(\alpha(r(x))\ \big)\ l'_{\tilde{F}}(r(x))\, r(x)\ \big]\ \big).$$

Since $\tilde{\psi}^i_n(\alpha(r(x))) = r'(0)^{-1}\psi^i_n(\alpha(r(x)))$ and $l_{\tilde{F}}(r(X)) = r'(0)l_F(X) \Rightarrow l'_{\tilde{F}}(r(X)) = r'(0)l'_F(x)/r'(x)$ the result follows.

We assume therefore that $r(X) = X$. For the admissible pair (n, t) let ϱ and k be as in Definition 2.3.1. Denote by ϵ the unit $\pi^{\varrho k}/p^k$. Let $u \in V_{\mathcal{L},1} = \{x \in \mathcal{L} : v(x-1) > 1/(p-1)\}$ and $x \in \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$. By bilinearity of the pairing

$$(\alpha, x)_n = \big(\alpha, x \ominus (x\, a_1^{p^k})\big)_n \oplus (\alpha, x\, a_1^{p^k})_n. \tag{18}$$

Now let $m = n + \varrho k$ and $y = x\, a_1^{p^k}$. Then by (5) of Proposition 2.2.1

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, y)_n &= f^{(m-n)}\big((\alpha, y)_m\big) = \pi^{\varrho k}(\alpha, y)_m = \epsilon\big(\alpha\big(a_1^{p^k}\big), y\big)_m \\ &= \epsilon\big(\alpha(y/x), y\big)_m = \epsilon(\alpha(y), y)_m \ominus \epsilon(\alpha(x), y)_m. \end{aligned}$$

But $r = X$ is t-normalized, hence we may replace $(\alpha(y), y)_m = 0$ by the expression $(\alpha(x), x)_m = 0$ and obtain

$$(\alpha, y)_n = \epsilon\big(\alpha(x), x\big)_m \ominus \epsilon\big(\alpha(x), y\big)_m = \epsilon\big(\alpha(x), x \ominus y\big)_m. \tag{19}$$

By the properties of the logarithm in Proposition 6.2.3 we can express

$$a_1^{p^k} = \exp\Big(\log\Big(a_1^{p^k}\Big)\Big) = \exp\big(p^k \log(a_1)\big) = 1 + p^k \log(a_1) + p^{2k} w,$$

where $w = \frac{z^2}{2!} + p^k \frac{z^3}{3!} + \cdots$, with $z = \log(a_1)$. Since $v(z) > 1/(p-1)$ then $v(\frac{z^i}{i!}) > 1/(p-1)$ and so $v(w) > 1/(p-1)$. This follows, for example, by Proposition 2.4 of [12].

Since $x \ominus y \equiv x - y \pmod{xy}$ and $y = x a_1^{p^k}$ with $v(x) > 0$, then $v(x \ominus y) = v(x - y) = v(x(a_1^{p^k} - 1)) > 1/(p-1)$. Thus, using the Taylor expansion of $l = l_F$ around $X = x$ we obtain

$$l(y) = l(x + xp^k z + xp^{2k} w) = l(x) + l'(x)(xp^k z + xp^{2k} w) + p^{2k} w_1,$$

where $w_1 = l''(x)\frac{\delta^2}{2!} + p^k l^{(3)}(x)\frac{\delta^3}{3!} + \cdots$ with $\delta = xz + xp^k w$. Since $v(\delta) > 1/(p-1)$ then $v(w_1) > 1/(p-1)$. Moreover, $l(y) = l(x) + l'(x)xp^k z + p^{2k} w_2$, with $v(w_2) > 1/(p-1)$. Then

$$l(x \ominus y) = -l'(x)xp^k z - p^{2k} w_2.$$

Observing that $-l'(x)xz - p^k w_2 \in \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$ we have by the isomorphism given in 6.2.3 that there is an $\eta \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1})$ such that $l(x \ominus y) = p^k l(\eta) = -l'(x)xp^k z - p^{2k} w_2$. Thus

$$x \ominus y = [p^k](\eta) = [\pi^{\varrho k}](\tilde{\eta}) = f^{(\varrho k)}(\tilde{\eta}), \tag{20}$$

for $\tilde{\eta} = [\epsilon^{-1}]_F(\eta)$. Since $n \leq \varrho k$ then π^n divides $\pi^{\varrho k}$ and we have that $x \ominus y \in f^{(n)}(F(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1}))$. Thus the first term on the right hand side of equation (18) is zero. By equations (19) and (20) and item (5) of Proposition 2.2.1 we have

$$(\alpha, x)_n = \epsilon(\alpha(x), x \ominus y)_m = (\alpha(x), \eta)_n. \tag{21}$$

Since $v(\eta) > 1/(p-1)$ and (n, t) is admissible we can use Proposition 3.3.1,

$$(\alpha(x), \eta)_n = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}\big(\ \psi_n^i\big(\alpha(x)\big)\ l_F(\eta)\ \big) = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}\big(\ \psi_n^i\big(\alpha(x)\big)\ (-l'(x)xz - p^k w_2)\ \big).$$

Since $\psi_{L,n}^i\big(\alpha(x)\big) \in R_{L,1}$, $w_2 \in T_{L,1}$, $\pi^n | p^k$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(R_{L,1}T_{L,1}) \subset C$, then we can write the last expression above as $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}\big(\ \psi_n^i\big(\alpha(x)\big)\ (-l'(x)xz)\ \big)$. From Equation (21) we finally get

$$(\alpha, x)_n^i = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}\big(\ \psi_n^i\big(\alpha(x)\big)\ \big[-l'(x)xz\big]\ \big).$$

Keeping in mind that $z = \log(a_1)$, the proposition follows.

□

3.4. **The map $\rho_{\mathcal{L},n}^i$.** We can define a C-linear structure on $V_{\mathcal{L},1} = 1 + \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$ by using the isomorphism $\log : V_{\mathcal{L},1} \to T_{\mathcal{L},1}$, i.e., $cu := \log^{-1}(c\log(u))$ for $c \in C$ and $u \in V_{\mathcal{L},1}$. Let $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\alpha' = \{a_2, \ldots, a_d\} \in K_{d-1}(\mathcal{L})$ be fixed. Consider the mapping

$$V_{\mathcal{L},1} \to C/\pi^n C, \quad \text{defined by} \quad a_1 \mapsto (\{a_1, \ldots, a_d\}, x)_{\mathcal{L},n}^i.$$

According to Proposition 3.3.3 this is a continuous C-linear map and we have the following

Proposition 3.4.1. *There exist a unique element* $\rho^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(\alpha', x) \in R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},1}$ *such that*

$$(\alpha, x)^i_{\mathcal{L},n} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}\left(\log(a_1)\ \rho^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(\alpha', x) \right), \tag{22}$$

for all $\alpha = \{a_1 \dots, a_d\} \in K_d(\mathcal{L})$ *with* $a_1 \in V_{\mathcal{L},1}$, *and the map* $\rho^i_{\mathcal{L},n} : K_{d-1}(\mathcal{L}) \otimes F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}}) \to R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},1}$ *is a homomorphism.*

From Proposition 3.4.1 and Proposition 3.3.3 it follows the next proposition.

Proposition 3.4.2. *Let* $\mathcal{L}$ *and* t *be as in (7) and let* $r(X)$ *be a* t*-normalized series. Then for all* $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$ *and all* $\alpha' = \{a_2, \dots, a_d\} \in K_{d-1}(\mathcal{L})$ *we have*

$$\frac{\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}\big(\alpha(r(x))\big)\ r(x)}{r'(x)} = -\frac{\rho^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(\alpha', x)}{l'_F(x)}.$$

where $\alpha\big(r(x)\big) = \big\{r(x), a_2, \dots, a_d\big\} \in K_d(\mathcal{L})$.

3.5. **The maps** $D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$**.** Let $\mathcal{L}$ be as in (7). Define $D^i_{\mathcal{L},n} : \mathcal{O}^d_{\mathcal{L}} \to R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},1}$ by

$$D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a_1, \dots, a_d) = \psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(\{a_1 \dots, a_d\})a_1 \cdots a_d, \tag{23}$$

and consider $R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},1}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$-module.

Proposition 3.5.1. *The map* $D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$ *satisfies*

(1) Leibniz Rule:

$$D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a_1, \dots, a_j a'_j, \dots, a_d) = a_j D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a_1, \dots, a'_j, \dots, a_d) + a'_j D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a_1, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_d).$$

(2) Steinberg relation: $D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 0$ *if* $a_j + a_k = 1$ *for some* $j \neq k$.

(3) Skew-symmetric:

$$D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a_1, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_k, \dots, a_d) = -D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_d).$$

(4) $D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 0$ *if some* a_j *is a* p^k*-th power in* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ *with* $\pi^n | p^k$.

Proof. Property (1) follows from the fact that $\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$ is a homomorphism. Property (2) follows from the Steinberg relation $\{a_1, \dots, a_j, \dots, 1 - a_j, \dots, a_d\} = 1$ for elements in the Milnor K-group $K_d(\mathcal{L})$ and property (3) follows from the fact that

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_k, \dots, a_d\} = \{a_1, \dots, a_k, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_d\}^{-1},$$

in $K_d(\mathcal{L})$ (cf. Proposition 2.1.1).

□

Proposition 3.5.2. *Let* $\mathcal{L}$ *and* t *be as in (7) and* $r(X)$ *a* t*-normalized series. Then for all* $x, y \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$ *and all* $a_2, \dots, a_d \in \mathcal{L}^*$ *we have*

$$\frac{D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}\big(\alpha(r(x \oplus y)\big)}{r'(x \oplus y)} = F_X(x, y)\ \frac{D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}\big(\alpha(r(x)\big)}{r'(x)} + F_Y(x, y)\ \frac{D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}\big(\alpha(r(y)\big)}{r'(y)}, \tag{24}$$

where $\alpha(z)$ *denotes* $(z, a_2, \dots, a_d) \in \mathcal{O}^d_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that

$$\rho^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(\alpha', x \oplus_F y) = \rho^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(\alpha', x) + \rho^i_{\mathcal{L},}(\alpha', y),$$

for $\alpha' = \{a_2, \dots, a_d\} \in K_{d-1}(\mathcal{L})$ and from differentiating $l_F(F(X, Y)) = l_F(X) + l_F(Y)$ with respect to X and Y. □

Let $\tilde{F}$ be the formal group $r(F(r^{-1}(X), r^{-1}(Y)))$. The series $r(X) = X$ is t-normalized for $\tilde{F}$. Denote by $\tilde{\oplus}$ the sum according to this formal group and $\tilde{D}^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$, $\tilde{\psi}^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$ the corresponding maps. According to Proposition 3.3.2 (4) we have that

$$\tilde{D}^i_{\mathcal{L},n} = \frac{1}{r'(0)} D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}. \tag{25}$$

Therefore, Proposition 3.5.2 in terms of $\tilde{\oplus}$ and $D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$ reads as

Corollary 3.5.1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be as in (7), then*

$$D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}\big(\alpha(x\tilde{\oplus}y)\big) = \tilde{F}_X(x,y) D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}\big(\alpha(x)\big) + \tilde{F}_Y(x,y) D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}\big(\alpha(y)\big). \tag{26}$$

In order to take advantage of this differentiability property of the map $D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$ with respect to formal group law $\tilde{F}$, we will show that any element in the maximal ideal $\mu_{\mathcal{L}}$ can be expressed as an infinite sum with respect to the formal group law $\tilde{F}$. This is accomplished in the following subsection.

3.5.1. *Representations of elements as formal group series.* In order to simplify the notation, we introduce the following

Definition 3.5.1. *Let $J_n = \big\{\overline{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) : 0 \le i_1, \dots, i_{d-1} < p^n\big\}$. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the set of all series in $X_d\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}[[X_1, \dots, X_d]]$ of the form*

$$\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigoplus_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i},k}^{p^n} \, X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}} X_d^k \right), \tag{27}$$

where $\gamma_{i,k} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$. Here $\oplus$ denotes addition in the formal group law F.

The following lemma will be used in Proposition 3.5.3 to express every element in the maximal ideal as an infinite formal group sum.

Lemma 3.5.1. *For $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$, there exist elements $\gamma_{\overline{i}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$, with $\overline{i} \in J_n$, such that*

$$x \equiv \sum_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i}}^{p^n} \; T_1^{i_1} \cdots T_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}} \pmod{\pi_L}.$$

Proof. For a direct proof using induction see §6.4 of the Appendix. Alternatively, the proposition is equivalent to the following two facts:

(1) $k_L((T_1)) \dots ((T_{d-1}))$ is a finite extension of $k_L((T_1^{p^n})) \dots ((T_{d-1}^{p^n}))$ of degree $p^{n(d-1)}$ and generated by the elements $T_1^{i_1} \cdots T_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}}$ for $0 \le i_1, \dots, i_{d-1} < p^n$.

(2) $k_L((T_1^{p^n})) \dots ((T_{d-1}^{p^n}))$ is the image of $k_L((T_1)) \dots ((T_{d-1}))$ under the Frobenius homomorphism

$$\sigma_p : k_L((T_1)) \dots ((T_{d-1})) \to k_L((T_1)) \dots ((T_{d-1})),$$

i.e., every element of $k_L((T_1^{p^n})) \dots ((T_{d-1}^{p^n}))$ is a p^nth power of an element in $k_L((T_1)) \dots ((T_{d-1}))$.

Both facts are easily proven by induction from the fact that, for a field k of characteristic p, the extension $[k((T)) : k((T^p))]$ has degree p and $\sigma_d(k)((T^p))$ is the image of $k((T))$ under the Frobenius homomorphism $\sigma_p : k((T)) \to k((T))$.

□

Proposition 3.5.3. *Let $\mathcal{L} = L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$. For $y \in \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$, there exist a power series $\eta \in \mathfrak{A}$ such that $y = \eta(T_1, \dots, \pi_L)$.*

Proof. Fix $y \in \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$. Denote by $T^{\overline{i}}$ the product $T_1^{i_1}\cdots T_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}}$ for $\overline{i} \in J_n$ and by $\oplus$ the formal sum $\oplus_F$. Then Lemma 3.5.1 applied to y/π_L gives as elements $\gamma_{\overline{i},1} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that

$$\frac{y}{\pi_L} \equiv \sum_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i},1}^{p^n} T^{\overline{i}} \equiv \frac{1}{\pi_L} \bigoplus_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i},1}^{p^n} T^{\overline{i}} \pi_L \pmod{\pi_L}.$$

In other words, $y \equiv \bigoplus_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i},1}^{p^n} T^{\overline{i}} \pi_L \pmod{\pi_L^2}$. Denote by y_1 the formal sum $\bigoplus_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i},1}^{p^n} T^{\overline{i}} \pi_L$. Suppose we have defined, for $1 \le k \le m-1$, elements

$$y_k = \bigoplus_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i},k}^{p^n} T^{\overline{i}} \pi_L^k,\ \gamma_{\overline{i},k} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}, \quad \text{such that} \quad y \ominus (\oplus_{k=1}^{m-1} y_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi_L^m}.$$

Then, again by Lemma 3.5.1, there exist elements $\gamma_{\overline{i},m} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{\pi_L^m}\left(y \ominus (\oplus_{k=1}^{m-1} y_k)\right) \equiv \sum_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i},m}^{p^n} T^{\overline{i}} \equiv \frac{1}{\pi_L^m} \bigoplus_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i},m}^{p^n} T^{\overline{i}} \pi_L^m \pmod{\pi_L}.$$

Denote by y_m the formal sum $\bigoplus_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i},m}^{p^n} T^{\overline{i}} \pi_L^m$. Then

$$y \ominus (\oplus_{k=1}^{m-1} y_k) \equiv y_m \pmod{\pi_L^{m+1}} \quad \text{or} \quad y \ominus (\oplus_{k=1}^{m} y_k) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi_L^{m+1}}.$$

Therefore $y = \oplus_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k$, which is what we wanted to prove. □

Corollary 3.5.2. *For every $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$, there exists $\gamma_{\overline{i},k} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i},k}^{p^n} T_1^{i_1}\cdots T_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}} \pi_L^k .$$

Proof. Take F to be the additive formal group $X + Y$. □

3.5.2. *Differentiability properties of the map $D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$.* Let r be a t-normalized series for F. Let $\tilde{F}$ be the formal group $r(F(r^{-1}(X), r^{-1}(Y)))$. For $y \in \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$ we will denote by $\tilde{\eta}_y(X_1, \dots, X_d)$ the multivariable series

$$\tilde{\bigoplus}_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\tilde{\bigoplus}_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i},k}^{p^n} X_1^{i_1}\cdots X_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}} X_d^k \right), \quad (\gamma_{\overline{i},k} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}) \tag{28}$$

with respect to $\tilde{F}$, such that $y = \tilde{\eta}_y(T_1, \dots, \pi_L)$, whose existence is guaranteed by Proposition 3.5.3.

Proposition 3.5.4. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be as in (7). For $y = \tilde{\eta}_y(T_1, \dots, \pi_L) \in \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$, $\tilde{\eta}_y$ as in (28), we have*

$$D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left.\frac{\partial \tilde{\eta}_y}{\partial X_i}\right|_{\substack{X_k = T_k,\\ k=1,\dots,d}} D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, T_i),$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$, where $T_d = \pi_L$.

Proof. Let $y = \oplus_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k$, where

$$y_k = \tilde{\bigoplus}_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i},k}^{p^n} T_1^{i_1}\cdots T_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}} \pi_L^k, \quad (\gamma_{\overline{i},k} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}})$$

Thus, $\tilde{\eta}_y = \tilde{\oplus}_{m=1}^{\infty}\eta_m$, where

$$\eta_m(X_1,\dots,X_d) = \tilde{\bigoplus}_{\overline{i}\in J_n} \gamma_{\overline{i},k}^{p^n} X_1^{i_1}\cdots X_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}} X_d^m.$$

Let us fix $a_1,\dots,a_{d-1}\in\mathcal{L}^*$ and denote by $D(x)$ the element $D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a_1,\dots,a_{d-1},x)$ to simplify notation.

First notice that

$$v_{\mathcal{L}}(y_k)\xrightarrow{k\to\infty}\infty \quad\Longrightarrow\quad D(y_k)=0 \quad \text{for } k \text{ large enough.} \tag{29}$$

This follows from $D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a_1,\dots,a_{d-1},x) = \psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a_1,\dots,a_{d-1},x)\,a_1\cdots a_{d-1}\,x$ and that $\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$ has values in $R_{\mathcal{L}}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L},n}$; $\pi_L^n\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}=0$.

Thus, from $\tilde{\eta}_y = \tilde{\oplus}_{m=1}^{k-1}\eta_m \pmod{\pi^k}$ and equation (29), it is enough to consider the finite formal sum $\tilde{\oplus}_{m=1}^{k-1}\eta_m$. The proposition follows now from the fact that $D(\gamma^{p^n}) = p^n\gamma^{p^n-1}D(\gamma) = 0$ ($\pi^n|p^n$), $D(xy) = yD(x)+xD(y)$, and corollary 3.5.1.

□

Corollary 3.5.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be as in (7). Let $y_i = \tilde{\eta}_{y_i}(T_1\dots,\pi_L)\in\mu_{\mathcal{L}}$, for $1\le i\le d$, where η_{y_i} is a multivariable series of the form (28). Then*

$$D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(y_1,\dots,y_d) = \det\left[\frac{\partial\tilde{\eta}_{y_i}}{\partial X_j}\right]_{i,j}\Bigg|_{\substack{X_k=T_k,\\ k=1,\dots,d}} D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(T_1,\dots,\pi_L), \tag{30}$$

where $T_d=\pi_L$.

Proof. The proof is immediate, see Section 6.4 of the Appendix. □

From the above corollary we see that the map $D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$ behaves like a multidimensional derivation. Our goal in the following sections is to give conditions that will guarantee this. In particular, it will follow that (30) not only holds for elements in the maximal ideal $\mu_{\mathcal{L}}$ but in the full ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and, moreover, it is independent of the power series representing the elements y_k, $k=1\dots,d$.

4. Multidimensional derivations

In this section we recall the main properties of multidimensional derivations and set them in the context needed to deduce our formulas. We start by introducing one dimensional derivations.

We will use the following notation and assumptions. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the standard d-dimensional local field $L\{\{T_1\}\}\dots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$ and $L/K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ be a tower of finite extensions. Let W be an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$-module that is p-adically complete, i.e.,

$$W\cong\varprojlim W/p^nW.$$

For example, if $p^nW=0$ for some n, then W is p-adically complete. Actually, this is going to be our situation, since W will be the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$-module $R_{\mathcal{L},1}/\pi^nR_{\mathcal{L},1}$.

4.1. Derivations and the module of differentials.

Definition 4.1.1. *A derivation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ into W over $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a map $D:\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}\to W$ such that for all $a,b\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ we have*

(1) $D(ab)=aD(b)+bD(a)$.
(2) $D(a+b)=D(a)+D(b)$.

(3) $D(a) = 0$ *if* $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

We denote by $D_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, W)$ the $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$-module of all derivations $D : \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L} \to W$. The universal object in the category of derivations of $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ is the $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$-module of Khaler differentials, denoted by $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})$. This is the $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$-module generated by finite linear combinations of the symbols da, for all $a \in \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$, divided out by the submodule generated by all the expressions of the form $dab - adb - bda$ and $d(a + b) - da - db$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$ and da for all $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$. The derivation $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L} \to \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})$ is defined by sending a to da.

If $D : \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L} \to W$ is a derivation, then $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})$ is universal in the following way. There exist a unique homomorphism $\xi : \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}) \to W$ of $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$-modules such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} & \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}) \\ & \searrow^{D} & \downarrow \xi \\ & & W \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Let $\hat{\Omega}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})$ be the p-adic completion of $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})$, i.e.,

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}) = \varprojlim \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})/p^n\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}).$$

Since we are assuming that W is p-adically complete, the homomorphism β induces the homomorphism

$$\xi : \hat{\Omega}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}) \to W.$$

Proposition 4.1.1. *We have the isomorphism of* $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$*-modules*

$$\hat{\Omega}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L} dT_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L} dT_{d-1} \oplus (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}/D(L/K)\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}) d\pi_L,$$

where π_L *is a uniformizer for* L *and* $D(L/K)$ *is the different of the extension* L/K. *That is,* $dT_1, \ldots, dT_{d-1}$ *and* $d\pi_L$ *generate* $\hat{\Omega}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})$.

Proof. See [14] Section 12.0 (b). For an elementary proof see Section 6.5 of the Appendix.

□

We will now give a rigorous definition to what it means to differentiate, with respect to the uniformizers $T_1, \ldots, T_{d-1}$ and $T_d = \pi_L$, an element in $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$. To this end, we will define derivations, over $\mathcal{O}_K$,

$$D_k : \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, 1 \le k \le d-1 \quad \text{and} \quad D_0 : \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}/D(L/K)\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L} \tag{31}$$

as follows. Let $\mathcal{L}_0 = L$ and $\mathcal{L}_k = \mathcal{L}_{k-1}\{\{T_k\}\}$, $k = 1, \ldots, d-1$. For $k = 0$ define

$$D_0 : \mathcal{O}_L \to \mathcal{O}_L/D(L/K)\mathcal{O}_L \quad \text{by} \quad D_0\big(g(\pi_L)\big) = g'(\pi_L),$$

where $g(X)$ is a polynomial with coefficients in the ring of integers of the maximal subextension of L unramified over K. This is a well defined derivation of $\mathcal{O}_L$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ by Corollary 5.2 of [12].

For $1 \le k \le d-1$, we define the derivation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_k}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$

$$D_k : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_k} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_k} \quad \text{by} \quad D_k\Big(\sum a_i T_k^i\Big) = \sum a_i\, i\, T_k^{i-1} \qquad (a_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_{k-1}}). \tag{32}$$

We now lift these derivations to derivations of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$, by induction, in the following way. Suppose $D : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_k} \to W$ is a derivation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_{k-1}}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$, where $1 \leq k \leq d$ and the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_k}$-module W is either $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_{k-1}}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_{k-1}}/D(L/K)\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_{k-1}}$. Then D extends to a derivation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_k}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$

$$D : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_k} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_k} \otimes W \quad \text{by} \quad D\left(\sum_i a_i\, T_k^i\right) := \sum_i D(a_i)\, T_k^i.$$

This derivation is well-defined since D is continuous with respect to the valuation topology of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_{k-1}}$. Thus the derivations (31) are well-defined and we can now introduce the following definition.

Definition 4.1.2. *The derivations $D_k : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$, $1 \leq k \leq d-1$ and $D_0 : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}/D(L/K)\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ from equation (31), will be denoted by $\frac{\partial}{\partial T_k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq d-1$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial T_d} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_L}$, respectively.*

Remark 4.1.1. *The derivations $\frac{\partial}{\partial T_k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq d-1$ coincide, informally, with the partial derivative with respect to T_k of an element α in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$. On the other hand, the derivation $\frac{\partial}{\partial T_d}$ has the following interpretation. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$, then there exist a polynomial $g(X) \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{L}}}[X]$ such that $\alpha = g(\pi_L)$. Then $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial T_d} = g'(\pi_L)$. Here $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \tilde{L}\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$, where $\tilde{L}$ is the maximal subextension of L unramified over K.*

We will show in the proposition below how every derivation is characterized by the derivations in Definition 4.1.2.

Proposition 4.1.2. *Let $D : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} \to W$ be a derivation and let $\frac{\partial}{\partial T_k}$, $1 \leq k \leq d$, be the derivations form Definition 4.1.2. Then the different $D(L/K)$ annihilates $D(\pi_{\mathcal{L}})$, and for all $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ we have*

$$D(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \frac{\partial a}{\partial T_k} D(T_k) \;+\; \frac{\partial a}{\partial T_d} D(\pi_L). \tag{33}$$

Conversely, we can construct a derivation in the following way. Take $w_1, \ldots, w_d \in W$ such that w_d is annihilated by the different $D(L/K)$, then the map

$$D(a) := \sum_{k=1}^{d} \frac{\partial a}{\partial T_k}\, w_k \tag{34}$$

is a well-defined derivation from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ into W over $\mathcal{O}_K$.

In other words, the map $D \mapsto \big(D(T_1), \ldots, D(T_{d-1}), D(\pi_L)\big)$ defines an isomorphism

$$D_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underbrace{W \oplus \cdots \oplus W}_{(d-1)-times} \oplus\, W_{D(L/K)}$$

where $W_{D(L/K)}$ is the submodule of W formed by elements annihilated by the different $D(L/K)$.

Proof. The proof follows from the proof of Proposition 4.1.1 and the fact that $D_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}, W) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}}(\hat{\Omega}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}), W)$. □

4.1.1. *Derivations on one-dimensional local fields.* The following three propositions are taken from [12] and will be needed in the deduciton of our formulas. We put them here for convenience.

If L is a finite extension of the local field K, then we denote by $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_L) \cong \mathcal{O}_L/D(L/K)$ the $\mathcal{O}_K$-module of differentials of $\mathcal{O}_L$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$.

Proposition 4.1.3. *(1) $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_L) \cong \mathcal{O}_L/D(L/K)$ as $\mathcal{O}_L$-modules. Moreover, the element $d\pi_L$ generates $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_L)$.*

(2) If M is a finite extension of L, the homomorphism $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_L) \to \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_M)$ is an embedding.

Proof. cf. [12] Proposition 5.1. □

We will denote by K_m (resp. L_m) the field obtained by adjoining the m-th torsion points to K (resp. L), i.e., $K_m = K(\kappa_m)$. Let v denote the normalized valuation $v_M/v_M(p)$, for every finite extension M of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Proposition 4.1.4. *There are positive constants c_1, $c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, depending only on (F, π), such that*

(1) $v(D(L_m/L)) \leq m/\varrho + \log_2(m)/(p-1) + c_2$ and $v(D(K_m/K)) \geq m/\varrho - c_1$. Where ϱ is the ramification index of S over $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

(2) Let p_m be the period (i.e., the generator of the annihalator ideal) of the $\mathcal{O}_{K_m}$-submodule of $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_{K_m})$ generated by differentials de_m^j, $j = 1, \dots, h$. Then $v(p_m) \geq m/\varrho - c_1$.

Proof. cf. [12] Proposition 5.3. □

Remark 4.1.2. *By Proposition 4.1.4 (2), there exists a torsion point e_m^j, with $1 \leq j \leq h$, which we will denote by $\mathfrak{e}_{\mathfrak{m}}$, such that $v(\mathfrak{p}_m) \geq m/\varrho - c_1$. Here $\mathfrak{p}_m$ denoted the period of the $\mathcal{O}_{K_m}$-submodule of $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_{K_m})$ generated by $d\mathfrak{e}_m$.*

Proposition 4.1.5. *Suppose K/S is an unramified extension and let $q = |k_S|$. Let h be the height of F with respect to $C = \mathcal{O}_S$, cf. Proposition 6.2.2. Then*

(1) $v(D(K_m/K)) \geq m/\varrho - 1/\varrho(q^h - 1)$.

(2) $K_m = K(e_m^i)$ is totally unramified over K and e_m^i is a uniformizer for K_m and

$$v(\text{ period of } de_m^i) = m/\varrho - 1/\varrho(q^h - 1).$$

Proof. cf. [12] Proposition 5.6. □

4.2. **Multidimensional derivations.** Let $\mathcal{L}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$, L, $\tilde{L}$ K and W be as in the beginning of the previous section.

Definition 4.2.1. *A d-dimensional derivation of $\mathcal{O}_L^d$ into W over $\mathcal{O}_K$ is map $D : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}^d \to W$ such that for all $a_1 \dots, a_d$ and $a_1', \dots, a_d'$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$, and any $1 \geq i \geq d$, it satisfies*

(1) Leibniz rule: $D(a_1, \dots, a_i a_i', \dots, a_d) = a_i' D(a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_d) + a_i D(a_1, \dots, a_i', \dots, a_d)$.

(2) Linearity: $D(a_1, \dots, a_i + a_i', \dots, a_d) = D(a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_d) + D(a_1, \dots, a_i', \dots, a_d)$,.

(3) Alternating: $D(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 0$, if $a_i = a_j$ for $i \neq j$.

(4) Constants: $D(a_1, \dots, a_d) = 0$ if $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ for some $1 \leq i \leq d$.

We denote by $D^d_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{K}}(\mathcal{O}^d_\mathcal{L}, W)$ the $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$-module of all d-dimensional derivations $D : \mathcal{O}^d_\mathcal{L} \to W$.

Consider the dth exterior product $\bigwedge^d_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})$ (cf. [15] Chapter 19 §1). This is the $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$-module $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})$ divided out by the $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$-submodule generated by the elements

$$x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_d, \tag{35}$$

where $x_i = x_j$ for some $i \neq j$. The symbols $x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_d$ will be denoted by

$$x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_d,$$

instead. For $\bigwedge^d_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})$ we consider the d-dimensional derivation $\mathfrak{d} : (\mathcal{O}^d_\mathcal{L}) \to \bigwedge^d_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})$ that sends $(a_1, \ldots, a_d)$ to the wedge product $a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_d$. This $\mathcal{O}_L$-module is the universal object in the category of d-dimensional derivations of $\mathcal{O}_L$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$, i.e,

Proposition 4.2.1. *If $D : (\mathcal{O}_L)^d \to W$ is a d-dimensonal derivation over $\mathcal{O}_K$ then there exist a homomorphism $\beta : \bigwedge^d_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}) \to W$ of $\mathcal{O}_L$-modules such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})^d & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{d}} & \bigwedge^d_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}) \\ & \searrow^{D} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda} \\ & & W \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Proof. It is clear that λ is the homomorphism defined by $\lambda(da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_d) = D(a_1, \ldots, a_d)$. □

Proposition 4.2.2. *$\bigwedge^d_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}} \hat{\Omega}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}) \cong (\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}/D(L/K)\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})\ dT_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dT_{d-1} \wedge d\pi_L$ as $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$-modules, where π_L is a uniformizer for L and $D(L/K)$ is the different of the extension L/K. That is, $dT_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dT_{d-1} \wedge d\pi_L$ generates $\bigwedge^d_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}} \hat{\Omega}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L})$ as an $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$-module.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 4.1.1. □

We will show in the proposition below how every multidimensional derivation is characterized by the derivations in Definition 4.1.2.

Proposition 4.2.3. *Let $D \in D^d_K(\mathcal{O}^d_\mathcal{L}, W)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial T_j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, d$ the derivations from Definition 4.1.2. Then the different $D(L/K)$ annihilates $D(T_1, \ldots, \pi_L)$, and for $a_1, \ldots, a_d \in \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$ we have*

$$D(a_1, \ldots, a_d) = \det\left[\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial T_j}\right]_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} D(T_1, \ldots, \pi_L). \tag{36}$$

Conversely, we can construct a multidimensional derivation in the following way. Let $w \in W$ annihilated by the different $D(L/K)$, then the map

$$D(a_1, \ldots, a_d) := \det\left[\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial T_j}\right]_{1 \leq i,j \leq d} w,$$

is well-defined and belongs to $D^d_K(\mathcal{O}^d_\mathcal{L}, W)$.

In other words, the map $D \mapsto D(T_1, \dots, \pi_L)$, defines an isomorphism from $D^d_K(\mathcal{O}^d_{\mathcal{L}}, W)$ to the $D(L/K)$-torsion subgroup of W.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.2.2 and the fact that

$$D^d_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}, W) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_K}\Big(\bigwedge^d_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}} \hat{\Omega}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}), W\Big).$$

□

5. Deduction of the formulas

We finally deduce the main formulas to describe the Kummer pairing for the field $\mathcal{L}$ and the level n, i.e., $(,)^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$. The strategy of the proof is the following. We start by introducing an auxiliary finite field extension $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{L}$, containing sufficiently many torsion points, and also introduce higher levels k and m with $k \geq m \geq n$. In Section 5.1, we show that, under certain conditions, the map $D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}$ is a derivation. In Section 5.2, we introduce an Artin-Hasse type formula for the generalized Kummer pairing of level k. This formula is characterized by an invariant attached to the Tate representation. With this invariant, we descend to the level m and manufacture an explicit derivation $\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ in Definition 5.2.2 and then show that it coincides with $D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$. The final descend back to the field $\mathcal{L}$ and level n will be guaranteed by Proposition 5.1.2 and accomplished in full detail in Section 5.3.

For the rest of this section we will use the following notation and assumptions:

$$\text{(37)} \qquad \begin{cases} \beta = (k,t) \text{ admissible pair}, \\ \pi^k | D(K_t/K), \\ M \supset K_t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M} = M\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}. \end{cases}$$

Additionally, π_M will denote a uniformizer for M and π_t a uniformizer for K_t. We will also denote by $\mathcal{K}_t$ the field $K_t\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$.

Note that the assumption of (37) on the different $D(K_t/K)$ is satisfied, for example, when $(t-k)/\varrho \geq c_1$; here c_1 is the constant from Proposition 4.1.4.

5.1. The reduction of the map $D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}$.

Proposition 5.1.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be as in (37). Then the reduction*

$$D^i_{\mathcal{M},k} : \mathcal{O}^d_{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow R_{\mathcal{M},1}/\big((\pi^k/\pi_M)\, R_{\mathcal{M},1}\big)$$

of $D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}$ to $R_{\mathcal{M},1}/(\pi^k/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}$ is a d-dimensional derivation over $\mathcal{O}_K$.

Proof. Let us fix $a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$. From Proposition 4.1.2 and the fact

$$D(M/K)D^i_{M,k}(a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, \pi_M) = 0 \pmod{\pi^k R_{\mathcal{M},1}},$$

we can to construct, by Proposition 4.1.2, a derivation

$$D : \mathcal{O}_M \to R_{\mathcal{M},1}/\pi^k R_{\mathcal{M},1},$$

such that $D(\pi_M) = D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}(a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, \pi_M)$ and $D(T_k) = D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}(a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}, T_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, d-1$, in the following way

$$D(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} \frac{\partial a}{\partial T_j} D(T_j) + \frac{\partial a}{\partial T_d} D(\pi_M),$$

where $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

According to Proposition 3.5.4 both D and $D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}(a_1,\dots,a_{d-1},\cdot)$ coincide in $\mu_{\mathcal{M}}$. But from the Leibniz rule it follows, by comparing $D(\pi_M x)$ and $D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}(a_1,\dots,a_{d-1},\pi_M x)$ when $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$, that they coincide $\pmod{(\pi^k/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}}$ in all $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

It follows now that

$$D^i_{\mathcal{M},k} : \mathcal{O}^d_{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow R_{\mathcal{M},1}/\big((\pi^k/\pi_M)\,R_{\mathcal{M},1}\big)$$

satisfies all conditions from definition 4.2.1 and so by Proposition 4.2.3 we have that it is a d-dimensional derivation such that

$$D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}(a_1,\dots,a_d) = \det\left[\frac{\partial a_{\mathrm{i}}}{\partial T_{\mathrm{j}}}\right]_{\mathrm{i,j}} D_{\mathcal{M},k}(T_1,\dots,T_{d-1},\pi_M),$$

where $a_1,\dots,a_d \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

□

5.1.1. *Description of the map $\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ in terms of $D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$.* Observe that for any $m \le k$ the pair (m,t) is also admissible, so Proposition 5.1.1 also holds for this pair. Thus, $D^i_{\mathcal{M},m} : \mathcal{O}^d_{\mathcal{M}} \to R_{\mathcal{M},1}/(\pi^m/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}$ is also a derivation as well and, moreover, we can express the map $\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ out of $D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ as follows. For $u_1,\dots,u_d$ in $\mathcal{O}^*_{\mathcal{M}} = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} : v_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = 0\}$ we let

(38)

$$\begin{cases} \psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\dots,u_{d-1},\pi_M) = \dfrac{D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\dots,u_{d-1},\pi_M)}{u_1\cdots u_{d-1}\pi_M} \pmod{\dfrac{\pi^m}{\pi_M^2}R_{\mathcal{M},1}} \\ \psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\dots,u_d) = \dfrac{D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\dots,u_d)}{u_1\cdots u_d} \pmod{\dfrac{\pi^m}{\pi_M}R_{\mathcal{M},1}} \\ \psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\dots,\pi_M^k u_d) = k\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\dots,\pi_M) + \psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\dots,u_d),\ k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(a_1,\dots,a_d) = 0, \text{whenever } a_i = a_j \text{ for } i \ne j,\ a_1\dots,a_d \in \mathcal{M}^* \end{cases}$$

It is clear form the definition that this is independent from the choice of a uniformizer π_M of M. Notice that the fourth property says that $\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ is alternate, in particular it is skew-symmetric, i.e.,

$$\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(a_1,\dots,a_i,\dots,a_j,\dots,a_d) = -\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(a_1,\dots,a_j,\dots,a_i,\dots,a_d).$$

whenever $i \ne j$.

5.1.2. *Descending from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{L}$ and from level m to level n.* The following proposition will be used in the main result (Theorem 5.3.1) to descend from the auxiliary field $\mathcal{M}$ to the ground field $\mathcal{L}$ and from the level m to the level n.

Let $\mathcal{L} = L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$ and v denote the normalized valuation $v_{\mathcal{L}}/v_{\mathcal{L}}(p)$.

Proposition 5.1.2. *Let m such that $v(f^{(m-n)}(x)) > 1/(p-1)$ for all $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$ (cf. Remark 5.1.1). Let (m,t) be admissible and put $\mathcal{M} = L_t\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$. Then $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}$ induces a homomorphism from $R_{\mathcal{M},1}/\pi^m R_{\mathcal{M},1}$ to $\mathcal{L}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L}}$ and we have the representation*

$$(\,N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)\,,\,x\,)^i_{\mathcal{L},n} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}\big(\,Tr_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}\big(\,\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(\alpha)\,\big)\,l_F(x)\,\big), \tag{39}$$

for all $\alpha \in K_d(\mathcal{M})$ and $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$. In particular, $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(\alpha))$ belongs to $R_{\mathcal{L}}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L}}$ and it is the unique element satisfying (39).

Proof. Since $e_n^i = f^{(m-n)}(e_m^i)$ and $f^{(m-n)}(x) \in \mu_{\mathcal{L},1} \subset \mu_{\mathcal{M},1}$, then by Proposition 2.2.1 (4) and (5) we have

$$(N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\alpha), x)^i_{\mathcal{L},n} = \frac{1}{\pi^{m-n}}\big(\,\alpha\,,\, f^{(m-n)}(x)\,\big)^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi^{m-n}}\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}/S}\left(\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(\alpha)\, l\big(f^{(m-n)}(x)\big)\right) = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}\big(\,\big[\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}\big(\,\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(\alpha)\,\big)\,\big]\, l(x)\,\big).$$

From the condition on m we have that $\pi^{m-n}T_{\mathcal{L}} \subset T_{\mathcal{L},1}$. Thus, after taking duals with respect to $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\pi^{m-n}}R_{\mathcal{L}} \supset R_{\mathcal{L},1}, \text{ or, } \pi^n R_{\mathcal{L}} \supset \pi^m R_{\mathcal{L},1}.$$

Then from $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(R_{\mathcal{M},1}) \subset R_{\mathcal{L},1}$, cf. Proposition 3.3.2 (1), it follows that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\pi^m R_{\mathcal{M},1}) \subset \pi^m R_{\mathcal{L},1} \subset \pi^n R_{\mathcal{L}}. \tag{40}$$

It then follows that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(\alpha)) \in \mathcal{L}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L}}$, and moreover since $(N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\alpha), x)^i_{\mathcal{L},n} \in C/\pi^n C$ then $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(\alpha)) \in R_{\mathcal{L}}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L}}$ and the uniqueness follows from Lemma 3.2.2.

□

Remark 5.1.1. *For m in Proposition 5.1.2 we can take any*

$$m > n + \varrho \log_p\big(v_L(p)/(p-1)\big) + \varrho/(p-1).$$

The proof of this claim can be found in Proposition 6.2 of [12]. *In Section §6.6 we reproduce the proof.*

5.2. **Artin-Hasse-type formulas for the Kummer pairing.** Having showed that the reduction of $D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ is a multidimensional derivation, we know after Proposition 4.2.3, that this map is completely characterized by its value at the local uniformizers $T_1, \dots, T_{d-1}$, π_M. In this section, we will normalized this description using torsion points instead. Concretely, we will show that there exist a torsion element $\mathfrak{e}_t \in \kappa_t$ (cf. Definition 5.2.1) such that the derivation $D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ can be described by its values at $T_1, \dots, T_{d-1}$, $\mathfrak{e}_t$. This description is done via an invariant associated to an Artin-Hasse type formula for the Kummer pairing of level k.

5.2.1. *The invariant $\overline{c}_{\beta,i}$.* Recall that we have fixed a basis $\{e^i\}_{i=1}^h$ for $\kappa = \varprojlim \kappa_n$. We also denoted by e^i_n the reduction of e^i to κ_n. Clearly $\{e^i_n\}$ is a basis for κ_n.

Let $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{K}_t$ and $\beta = (k,t)$ be as in (37). Let $\mathcal{M}_n = M_n\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$, where $M_n = M(\kappa_n)$. The action of $G_{\mathcal{M}} = Gal(\overline{\mathcal{M}}/\mathcal{M})$ on κ defines a continuous representation

$$\tau : G_{\mathcal{M}} \to GL_h(C). \tag{41}$$

The reduction of τ to $GL_h(C/\pi^n C)$ is the analogous representation of $G_{\mathcal{M}}$ on κ_n and will be denoted by τ_n. This clearly induces an embedding $\tau_n : G(\mathcal{M}_n/\mathcal{M}) \to GL_h(C/\pi^n C)$.

If $a \in \mathcal{M}^*$, then

$$\tau_{k+t}\big(\,\Upsilon_{\mathcal{M}}\big(\,\{T_1, \dots, T_{d-1}, a\}\,\big)\big)$$

is congruent to the identity matrix $I \pmod{\pi^t}$ because the Galois group $G(\mathcal{M}_{k+t}/\mathcal{M})$ fixes κ_t and so correspond in $GL_h(C/\pi^{k+t}C)$ to matrices $\equiv I \pmod{\pi^t}$, i.e., there exist characters $\chi_{\mathcal{M},\beta:i,j} : \mathcal{M}^* \to C/\pi^k C$ such that

$$\tau_{k+t}\big(\,\Upsilon_{\mathcal{M}}\big(\,\{T_1, \dots, T_{d-1}, a\}\,\big)\,\big) = I + \pi^t\big(\,\chi_{\mathcal{M},\beta:i,j}(a)\,\big) \in GL_h(C/\pi^{k+t}C).$$

For $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K}_t$ we simply write $\chi_{\beta:i,j}$. By Proposition 2.1.2 (4) we have that

$$N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{K}_t}\{T_1, \dots, T_{d-1}, a\} = \{\, T_1, \dots, T_{d-1}, N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{K}_t}(a)\,\},$$

where $\mathcal{K}_t = K_t\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$, and Proposition 2.2.1 (4) implies

$$\chi_{\mathcal{M},\beta:i,j}(a) = \chi_{\beta:i,j}(N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{K}_t}(a)) \tag{42}$$

The definition of the pairing $(\,,\,)_{\mathcal{M},k}$ implies, for $v \in \kappa_t$, that

$$\Big(\, \big(\{T_1, \dots, T_{d-1}, a\}, v\big)^i_{\mathcal{M},k} \Big) = \big(\, \chi_{\mathcal{M},\beta:i,j}(a)\,\big)_{i,j}\ (v^j),$$

as an identity of column vectors, where the right hand side is the product of the matrix $\big(\, \chi_{\mathcal{M},\beta:i,j}(a)\,\big)_{i,j}$ with the column vector (v^j) formed by the coordinates of v with respecto to $\{e_t^i\}$. In particular, for $v = e_t^j$ we have

$$\big(\, \{T_1, \dots, T_{d-1}, a\}, e_t^{\,j}\,\big)^i_{\mathcal{M},k} = \chi_{\mathcal{M},\beta:i,j}(a).$$

According to Proposition 3.4.1 we see that $\chi_{\mathcal{M}:i,j}$ uniquely determines a constant $c_{\mathcal{M},\beta:i,j} \in R_{\mathcal{M},1}/\pi^k R_{\mathcal{M},1}$ such that

$$\chi_{\mathcal{M},\beta:i,j}(u) = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}/S}\big(\, \log(u)\, c_{\mathcal{M},\beta:i,j}\,\big) \quad \forall u \in V_{\mathcal{M},1}. \tag{43}$$

Namely, $\rho^i_{\mathcal{M},k}(T_1, \dots, T_{d-1}, e_t^j) = c_{\mathcal{M},\beta:i,j}$.

Observe that $c_{\mathcal{M},\beta:i,j}$ is, by equation (42), the image of $c_{\beta,i,j} := c_{\mathcal{K}_t,\beta:i,j}$ under the map

$$R_{\mathcal{K}_t,1}/\pi^k R_{\mathcal{K}_t,1} \to R_{\mathcal{M},1}/\pi^k R_{\mathcal{M},1}$$

($R_{\mathcal{K}_t,1} \subset R_{\mathcal{M},1}$). So we will denote $c_{\mathcal{M},\beta:i,j}$ by $\overline{c}_{\beta:i,j}$.

Definition 5.2.1. *For the torsion element $\mathfrak{e}_t$ in Remark 4.1.2 we simply write the constants $c_{\beta:i,j}$ and $\overline{c}_{\beta:i,j}$ by $c_{\beta:i}$ and $\overline{c}_{\beta:i}$, respectively, so that*

$$\big(\, \{T_1, \dots, T_{d-1}, u\}, \mathfrak{e}_t\,\big)^i_{\mathcal{M},k} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}/S}\big(\, \log(u)\, \overline{c}_{\beta:i}\,\big) \quad \forall u \in V_{\mathcal{M},1}. \tag{44}$$

The choice of this $\mathfrak{e}_t$ is independent of i.

Note that equation (44) defines an Artin-Hasse-type formula for the Kummer pairing, in which the constant $\overline{c}_{\beta:i}$ is characterized the value of the Kummer pairing at the torsion point $\mathfrak{e}_t$. We will see in the next section how to construct the $D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ from of the value $\overline{c}_{\beta:i}$.

Finally, observe that $c_{\beta:i,j}$ is an invariant of the isomorphism class of (F, e_j) because if $g : (F, e_j) \to (\tilde{F}, \tilde{e}_j)$ is such isomorphism then $\tilde{\rho}^i_{\mathcal{M},k}(T_1, \dots, T_{d-1}, g(x)) = \rho^i_{\mathcal{M},k}(T_1, \dots, T_{d-1}, x)$.

From Proposition 3.4.2 we conclude that

Proposition 5.2.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\beta = (k, t)$ be as in (37). If $r(X)$ is a t-normalized series for F, then*

$$D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}\big(\, T_1, \dots, T_{d-1}, r(e_t^{\,j})\,\big) = -r'(e_t^{\,j})\ T_1 \cdots T_{d-1}\ \frac{\overline{c}_{\beta:i,j}}{l'(e_t^{\,j})}. \tag{45}$$

In particular, this holds for the torsion element $\mathfrak{e}_t$ and the invariant $\overline{c}_{\beta:i}$.

5.2.2. *Explicit description of $D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ using the invariant $\overline{c}_{\beta:i}$.* Let L be a local field and let $\mathcal{L} = L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$. Define

$$R'_{\mathcal{L},1} := \{x \in \mathcal{L} : v_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \geq -v_L(D(L/S)) - \lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1)\rfloor\}. \tag{46}$$

Note that

$$v_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \geq -v_L(D(L/S)) - \left\lfloor \frac{v_L(p)}{p-1}\right\rfloor \quad \text{iff} \quad v_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \geq -v_L(D(L/S)) - \frac{v_L(p)}{p-1}.$$

This holds since $v_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ and $v_L(D(L/S))$ are integers, therefore the conditions

$$\left\lfloor \frac{v_L(p)}{p-1}\right\rfloor \geq -v_L(D(L/S)) - v_{\mathcal{L}}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{v_L(p)}{p-1} \geq -v_L(D(L/S)) - v_{\mathcal{L}}(x),$$

are equivalent by the very definition of the integral part of a real number. Comparing with equations (46) and (14) we see that $R'_{\mathcal{L},1} = \pi_L R_{\mathcal{L},1}$.

If M/L is a finite extension of local fields and we put $\mathcal{M} = M\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$ and $\mathcal{L} = L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$, then clearly

$$R'_{\mathcal{M},1} = (1/D(M/L))R'_{\mathcal{L},1}. \tag{47}$$

Proposition 5.2.2. *Let $\beta = (k,t)$, K_t and $\mathcal{K}_t$ be as in (37). Let $a_j \in \mathcal{O}_{K_t}$ such that $de^j_t = a_j d\pi_t$ in $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_{K_t})$. Then*

$$c_{\beta:i,j} \in \frac{a_j R'_{\mathcal{K}_t,1} + \frac{\pi^k}{\pi_t} R'_{\mathcal{K}_t,1} + \pi^k R_{\mathcal{K}_t,1}}{\pi^k R_{\mathcal{K}_t,1}},$$

Proof. The proof is the same as of Proposition 6.5 of [12]. We will reproduce it again in Section Section 6.6 of the Appendix. □

Lemma 5.2.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be as in (37). Let $\mathfrak{e}_t$ and $\overline{c}_{\beta:i}$ be as in Definition 5.2.1. Let $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{O}_M$ such that $d\mathfrak{e}_t = \mathfrak{b} d\pi_M$ in $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_M)$; this $\mathfrak{b}$ exists by Proposition 4.1.3. Then there exist a $\gamma_i \in R_{\mathcal{M},1}/(\pi^k/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}$ such that*

$$-\overline{c}_{\beta:i}/l'(\mathfrak{e}_t) = \mathfrak{b}\,\gamma_i. \tag{48}$$

Moreover, all such γ_i's coincide when reduced to

$$R_{\mathcal{M},1}/(\pi^m/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1},$$

for $m \leq k$ satisfying $m/\varrho \leq k/\varrho - v(D(M/K)) + t/\varrho - c_1$; c_1 is the constant from Proposition 4.1.4.

Remark 5.2.1. *We can interpret the element $\gamma_i \pmod{(\pi^k/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}}$ as follows. Choose a polynomial $g(X)$ with coefficients in the ring of integers of the maximal subextension of M unramified over K, such that $\mathfrak{e}_t = g(\pi_M)$. Then*

$$\gamma_i = -\frac{\overline{c}_{\beta:i}}{l'(\mathfrak{e}_t)\,g'(\mathfrak{e}_t)}$$

Moreover, modulo $(\pi^m/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}$, this is independent of the choice of $g(X)$.

Proof. Let λ_i be a representative for $c_{\beta:i}$ in $R_{\mathcal{K}_t,1}$. By Proposition 5.2.2, $\lambda_i \in aR'_{\mathcal{K}_t,1} + (\pi^k/\pi_t)R'_{\mathcal{K}_t,1}$ where $d\mathfrak{e}_t = ad\pi_t$. Let $b \in \mathcal{O}_M$ such that $d\pi_t = b\,d\pi_M$, in particular $D(M/K_t) = b\mathcal{O}_M$, and set $\mathfrak{b} = a\,b$. We have, by (47), that

$$\frac{1}{b}R'_{\mathcal{K}_t,1} = (1/D(M/K_t))R'_{\mathcal{K}_t,1} = R'_{\mathcal{M},1} \subset R_{\mathcal{M},1},$$

$$\frac{\pi^k}{\pi_t}R'_{\mathcal{K}_t,1} \subset \frac{\pi^k}{\pi_M}\frac{D(M/K_t)}{\pi_t/\pi_M}R'_{\mathcal{M},1} \subset \frac{\pi^k}{\pi_M}R_{\mathcal{M},1},$$

where the last inclusion follows since $D(M/K_t)$ is divisible by π_t/π_M; this follows from the general inequality $v_M(D(M/K_t)) \geq e(M/K_t) - 1$ (cf. [2] Chapter 1 Proposition 5.4). It thus follows

$$-\frac{\overline{c}_{\beta:i}}{l'(\mathfrak{e}_t)} = -\frac{\lambda_i}{l'(\mathfrak{e}_t)} \in \mathfrak{b}\frac{R_{\mathcal{M},1}}{(\pi^k/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}}.$$

Now let us prove the second assertion. Since $-\overline{c}_{\beta:i}/l'(\mathfrak{e}_t) = \mathfrak{b}\gamma_i$, then γ_i is uniquely defined $(\mathrm{mod}\ (\pi^k/\pi_M\mathfrak{b})R_{\mathcal{M},1})$. Let $m \leq k$, thus γ_i is uniquely defined $(\mathrm{mod}\ (\pi^m/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1})$ as long as $\pi^m|(\pi^k/\mathfrak{b})$. But this condition is fulfill when $m/\varrho \leq k/\varrho - v(D(M/K)) + t/\varrho - c_1$. Indeed, $d\mathfrak{e}_t = \mathfrak{b}d\pi_M$ implies that $v(\mathfrak{p}_t) + v(\mathfrak{b}) = v(D(M/K))$, with $\mathfrak{p}_t$ as in Remark 4.1.2, thus by this same proposition

$$m/\varrho \leq k/\varrho - v(D(M/K)) + t/\varrho - c_1 \leq k/\varrho - v(D(M/K)) + v(\mathfrak{p}_t) = k/\varrho - v(\mathfrak{b}).$$

□

Definition 5.2.2. *Using the same notation and assumptions of Lemma 5.2.1, we construct the explicit d-dimensional derivation* $\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m} : \mathcal{O}^d_{\mathcal{M}} \to R_{\mathcal{M},1}/(\pi^m/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}$, *using Proposition 4.2.3, in the following way*

$$\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(a_1,\ldots,a_d) := \det\left[\frac{\partial a_i}{\partial T_j}\right]_{1\leq i,j\leq d} \quad T_1\cdots T_{d-1}\ \gamma_i,$$

where $a_1,\ldots,a_d \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$. *Moreover, from* $\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ *we can construct an explicit logarithmic derivative* $\mathfrak{Dlog}^i_{\mathcal{M},m} : K_d(\mathcal{M}) \to R_{\mathcal{M},1}/(\pi^m/\pi^2_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}$, *by setting*

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{Dlog}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\ldots,u_{d-1},\pi_M) = \dfrac{\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\ldots,u_{d-1},\pi_M)}{u_1\cdots u_{d-1}\pi_M} \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ \dfrac{\pi^m}{\pi^2_M}R_{\mathcal{M},1}) \\ \mathfrak{Dlog}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\ldots,u_d) = \dfrac{\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\ldots,u_d)}{u_1\cdots u_d} \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ \dfrac{\pi^m}{\pi_M}R_{\mathcal{M},1}) \\ \mathfrak{Dlog}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\ldots,\pi^k_M u_d) = k\mathfrak{Dlog}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\ldots,\pi_M) + \mathfrak{Dlog}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(u_1,\ldots,u_d),\ k\in\mathbb{Z} \\ \mathfrak{Dlog}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(a_1,\ldots,a_d) = 0, \text{ whenever } a_j = a_k \text{ for } j\neq k,\ a_1\ldots,a_d \in \mathcal{M}^* \end{cases}$$

where $u_1,\ldots,u_d$ *are in* $\mathcal{O}^*_{\mathcal{M}} = \{u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} : v_{\mathcal{M}}(u) = 0\}$.

We now give conditions on when the map $D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ (respectively $\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$) and the explicit $\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ (respectively $\mathfrak{Dlog}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$) coincide.

Proposition 5.2.3. *Let* $\mathcal{M}$ *be as in (37). Let* $m \leq k$ *such that* $m/\varrho \leq k/\varrho + t/\varrho - v(D(M/K)) - c_1$; c_1 *is the constant from Proposition 4.1.4.. Then the reduction of*

$$D^i_{\mathcal{M},m} : \mathcal{O}^d_{\mathcal{M}} \to R_{\mathcal{M},1}/\pi^m R_{\mathcal{M},1}$$

to $R_{\mathcal{M},1}/(\pi^m/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}$ *is a d-dimensional derivation over* $\mathcal{O}_K$. *Moreover, this d-dimensional derivation coincides with the explicit d-dimensional derivation* $\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ *from Definition 5.2.2.*

Remark 5.2.2. *In particular,*

$$D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(T_1,\ldots,T_{d-1},e^j_t) = -T_1\cdots T_{d-1}\overline{c}_{\beta:i,j}/l'(e^j_t) \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ \pi^m/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq h$.

Proof. First notice that, by Proposition 3.3.2 (3),

$$D^i_{\mathcal{M},m} : \mathcal{O}^d_{\mathcal{M}} \to R_{\mathcal{M},1}/(\pi^m/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}$$

is the reduction of

$$D^i_{\mathcal{M},k} : \mathcal{O}^d_{\mathcal{M}} \to R_{\mathcal{M},1}/(\pi^k/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}$$

and the latter is a d-dimensional derivation over $\mathcal{O}_K$ according to Proposition 5.1.1. Also, according Proposition 5.2.1 we have

$$D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}\big(T_1,\dots,T_{d-1},\mathfrak{e}_t\big) = -T_1\cdots T_{d-1}\ \overline{c}_{\beta:i}/l'(\mathfrak{e}_t). \tag{49}$$

Moreover, for $\mathfrak{b}$ as in Lemma 5.2.1, we have that

$$D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}\big(T_1,\dots,T_{d-1},\mathfrak{e}_t\big) = \mathfrak{b}\, D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}(T_1,\dots,T_{d-1},\pi_M).$$

This together with (49) imply that $D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}(T_1,\dots,T_{d-1},\pi_M)/T_1\cdots T_{d-1}$ is one of the γ_i's that satisfies (48). Therefore, by the second assertion of Lemma 5.2.1 and by the way $\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ was constructed in Definition 5.2.2, we conclude that the three maps $D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}$, $D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ and $\mathfrak{D}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ will coincide $\pmod{(\pi^m/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}}$. This concludes the proof.

The statement in the remark holds because $D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ is a multidimensional derivation and from the formula for $D^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(T_1,\dots,T_{d-1},r(e^j_t))$ in equation (45). □

5.3. **Main formulas.** Let $L \supset K_n$ and $\mathcal{L} = L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$. Let ϱ be the ramification index of $S/\mathbb{Q}_p$ and

$$m = n + 2 + \big\lfloor\, \varrho\, \log_p\big(\, v_L(p)/(p-1)\,\big) + \varrho/(p-1)\big\rfloor. \tag{50}$$

Take k an integer large enough such that

$$t/\varrho + k/\varrho \geq m/\varrho + c_1 + v(D(M/K)) \quad \text{and} \tag{51}$$

$$k + \varrho + 1 \geq c_1\varrho, \tag{52}$$

where $t = 2k+\varrho+1$, $M = L_t$, $\mathcal{M} = M\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$ and c_1 is the constant from Proposition 4.1.4. Condition (51) holds, for example, when

$$k/\varrho \geq m/\varrho + \log_2(2k+\varrho+1)/(p-1) + c_1 + c_2 + v(D(L/K)), \tag{53}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are the constants from Proposition 4.1.4; see the proof of Theorem 5.3.1 for a deduction of (51) from (53). We now formulate the main result.

Theorem 5.3.1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{M}$ and m as above. Then*

(54)

$$(N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\alpha),x)^i_{\mathcal{L},n} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}\big(\,\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}\big(\,\mathfrak{Dlog}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(\alpha)\,\big)\,l(x)\,\big) = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}/S}\big(\,\mathfrak{Dlog}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(\alpha)\,l(x)\,\big),$$

for all $\alpha \in K_d(\mathcal{M})$ and all $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$. Here $\mathfrak{Dlog}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ is the explicit logarithmic derivative constructed in Definition (5.2.2).

Proof. By considering the tower $L_t \subset L \subset K$ and the upper bound in Proposition 4.1.4 (1), we get

$$v(D(M/K)) \leq v(L_t/L) + v(D(L/K)) \leq t/\varrho + \log_2(t)/(p-1) + c_2 + v(D(L/K)).$$

Adding $m/\varrho + c_1$ we obtain, by (53), the inequality (51). The definition of t clearly implies that (k,t) is admissible and condition (52) implies $(t-k)/\varrho \geq c_1$. Thus M, $\mathcal{M}$, t, k and m defined as above, satisfy the hypothesis of Proposition 5.2.3 and of Definition (5.2.2). The result now follows from Proposition 5.1.2, the Remark 5.1.1 and Equation (38), i.e., the map $\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ and $\mathfrak{Dlog}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}$ coincide. It remains only to

check that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}((\pi^m/\pi_M^2)R_{\mathcal{M},1}) \subset \pi^n R_{\mathcal{L}}$, so that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\mathfrak{Dlog}^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(\alpha))$ is well defined in $R_{\mathcal{L}}/\pi^n R_{\mathcal{L}}$. To do this notice that condition (50) implies

$$m-1 > n + \varrho \log_p \big(v_L(p)/(p-1) \big) + \varrho/(p-1),$$

and we can apply Remark 5.1.1 to $m-1$ and get, by Equation (40), that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}} \left(\pi^{m-1} \frac{\pi}{\pi_M^2} R_{\mathcal{M},1} \right) \subset \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{ML}}(\pi^{m-1} R_{\mathcal{M},1}) \subset \pi^n R_{\mathcal{L}}.$$

Bearing in mind that $\pi_M^2 | \pi$, since $\pi^k | D(M/K)$ implies $e(M/K) > 1$ (i.e., M/K is not unramified). □

6. Appendix

6.1. **Higher-dimensional local fields.** The reader is welcome to visit the nice paper [6] for an account on higher local fields and all the results not proven in this section. In the rest of this section E will denote a local field, k_E its residue field and π_E a uniformizer for E.

Definition 6.1.1. *$\mathcal{K}$ is an d-dimensional local field, i.e., a field for which there is a chain of fields $\mathcal{K}_d = \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K}_{d-1}$, …, $\mathcal{K}_0$ such that $\mathcal{K}_{i+1}$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field $\mathcal{K}_i$, $0 \leq i \leq d-1$, and $\mathcal{K}_0$ is a finite field of characteristic p.*

If k is a finite field then $\mathcal{K} = k((T_1))\dots((T_d))$ is a d-dimensional local field with

$$\mathcal{K}_i = k((T_1))\dots((T_i)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

If E is a local field, then $\mathcal{K} = E\{\{T_1\}\}\dots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$ is defined inductively as $E_{d-1}\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$ where $E_{d-1} = E\{\{T_1\}\}\dots\{\{T_{d-2}\}\}$. We have that $\mathcal{K}$ is a d-dimensional local field with residue field $\mathcal{K}_{d-1} = k_{E_{d-1}}((T_{d-1}))$, and by induction

$$k_{E_{d-1}} = k_E((T_1))\dots((T_{d-2})).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{K}_{d-1} = k_E((T_1))\dots((T_{d-1}))$. These fields are called the *standard* fields.

From now on we will assume $\mathcal{K}$ has *mixed* characteristic, i.e., $\mathrm{char}(\mathcal{K})$=0 and $\mathrm{char}(\mathcal{K}_{d-1})$= p. The following theorem classifies all such fields.

Theorem 6.1.1 (Classification Theorem)**.** *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be an d-dimensional local field of mixed characteristic. Then $\mathcal{K}$ is a finite extension of a standard field*

$$E\{\{T_1\}\}\dots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\},$$

where E is a local field, and there is a finite extension of $\mathcal{K}$ which is a standard field.

Proof. cf. [6] § 1.1 *Classification Theorem.* □

Definition 6.1.2. *An d-tuple of elements $t_1, \dots, t_d \in \mathcal{K}$ is called a system of local parameters of $\mathcal{K}$, if t_d is a prime in $\mathcal{K}_d$, t_{d-1} is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ but its residue in $\mathcal{K}_{d-1}$ is a prime element of $\mathcal{K}_{d-1}$, and so on.*

For the standard field $E\{\{T_1\}\}\dots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$ we can take as a system of local parameters $t_d = \pi_E$, $t_{d-1} = T_{d-1}, \dots, t_1 = T_1$.

Definition 6.1.3. *We define a discrete valuation of rank d to be the map $\mathsf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_d) : \mathcal{K}^* \to \mathbb{Z}^d$, $v_d = v_{\mathcal{K}_d}$, $v_{d-1}(x) = v_{\mathcal{K}_{d-1}}(x_{d-1})$ where x_{d-1} is the residue in $\mathcal{K}_{d-1}$ of $x t_d^{-v_n(x)}$, and so on.*

Although the valuation depends, for $n > 1$, on the choice of $t_2, \dots, t_d$, it is independent in the class of equivalent valuations.

6.1.1. *Topology on $\mathcal{K}$.* We define the topology on $E\{\{T_1\}\}\dots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$ by induction on d. For $d = 1$ we define the topology to be the topology of a one-dimensional local field. Suppose we have defined the topology on a standard d-dimensional local field E_d and let $\mathcal{K} = E_d\{\{T\}\}$. Denote by $P_{E_d}(c)$ the set $\{x \in E_d : v_{E_d}(x) \geq c\}$. Let $\{V_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a sequence of neighborhoods of zero in E_d such that

$$\begin{cases} 1. \text{ there is a } c \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } P_{E_d}(c) \subset V_i \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}. \\ 2. \text{ for every } l \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ we have } P_{E_d}(l) \subset V_i \text{ for all sufficiently large } i. \end{cases} \tag{55}$$

and put $\mathcal{V}_{\{V_i\}} = \{\sum b_i T^i \ : b_i \in V_i\}$. These sets form a basis of neighborhoods of 0 for a topology on $\mathcal{K}$. For an arbitrary d-dimensional local field L of mixed characteristic we can find, by the Classification Theorem, a standard field that is a finite extension of L and we can give L the topology induced by the standard field.

Proposition 6.1.1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a d-dimensional local field of mixed characteristic with the topology defined above.*

1. *$\mathcal{L}$ is complete with this topology. Addition is a continuous operation and multiplication by a fixed $a \in \mathcal{L}$ is a continuous map.*
2. *Multiplication is a sequentially continuous map, i.e., if $x \in \mathcal{L}$ and $y_k \to y$ in $\mathcal{L}$ then $xy_k \to xy$.*
3. *This topology is independent of the choice of the standard field above $\mathcal{L}$.*
4. *If $\mathcal{K}$ is a standard field and $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}$ is finite, then the topology above coincides with the natural vector space topology as a vector space over $\mathcal{K}$.*
5. *The reduction map $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L} \to k_\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{d-1}$ is continuous and open (where $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$ is given the subspace topology from $\mathcal{L}$, and $k_\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{d-1}$ the $(d-1)$-dimensional topology).*

Proof. All the proofs can be found in [17] Theorem 4.10. □

6.1.2. *Topology on $\mathcal{K}^*$.* Let $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_d$ be a set of representatives of the last residue field $\mathcal{K}_0$. Let $t_1, \dots, t_d$ be a fixed system of local parameters for $\mathcal{K}$, i.e., t_d is a uniformizer for $\mathcal{K}$, t_{d-1} is a unit in $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{K}$ but its residue in $\mathcal{K}_{d-1}$ is a uniformizer element of $\mathcal{K}_{d-1}$, and so on. Then

$$\mathcal{K}^* = \mathcal{V}_\mathcal{K} \times \langle t_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle t_d \rangle \times \mathcal{R}^*,$$

where the group of principal units $V_\mathcal{K} = 1 + M_\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{R}^* = \mathcal{R} - \{0\}$. From this observation we have the following,

Proposition 6.1.2. *We can endow $\mathcal{K}^*$ with the product of the induced topology from $\mathcal{K}$ on the group $\mathcal{V}_\mathcal{K}$ and the discrete topology on $\langle t_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle t_d \rangle \times \mathcal{R}^*$. In this topology we have,*

1. *Multiplication is sequentially continuous, i.e., if $a_n \to a$ and $b_n \to b$ then $a_n b_n \to ab$.*
2. *Every Cauchy sequence with respect to this topology converges in $\mathcal{K}^*$.*

Proof. See [6] Chapter 1 §1.4.2. □

6.2. **Formal groups.** In this section we will state some useful results in the theory of formal groups.

6.2.1. *The Weiertrass lemma.* Let E be a discrete valuation field of zero characteristic with integer ring $\mathcal{O}_E$ and maximal ideal μ_E.

Lemma 6.2.1 (Weierstrass lemma)**.** *Let $g = a_0 + a_1X + \cdots \in \mathcal{O}_E[[X]]$ be such that $a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mu_E$, $n \geq 1$, and $a_n \notin \mu_E$. Then there exist a unique monic polynomial $c_0 + \cdots + X^n$ with coefficients in μ_E and a series $b_0 + b_1X \cdots$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_E$ and b_0 a unit, i.e., $b_0 \neq \mu_E$, such that*

$$g = (c_0 + \cdots + X^n)(b_0 + b_1X \cdots).$$

Proof. See [15] IV. §9 Theorem 9.2. □

6.2.2. *The group $F(\mu_{\mathcal{M}})$.* Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a d-dimensional local field containing the local field K, say, $\mathcal{K} = K\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$. Denote by $F(\mu_{\mathcal{K}})$ the group with underlying set $\mu_{\mathcal{K}}$ and operation defined by the formal group F. More generally, if $\mathcal{M}$ is an algebraic extension of $\mathcal{K}$ we define

$$F(\mu_{\mathcal{M}}) := \bigcup_{\substack{\mathcal{M}\supset\mathcal{L}\supset\mathcal{K},\\ [\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{K}]<\infty}} F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}}).$$

An element $f \in \mathrm{End}(F)$ is said to be an isogeny if the map $f : F(\mu_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}}) \to F(\mu_{\bar{\mathcal{K}}})$ induced by it is surjective with finite kernel.

If the reduction of f in $k_K[[X]]$, k_K the residue field of K, is not zero then it is of the form $f_1(X^{p^h})$ with $f_1'(0) \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$, cf. [12] Proposition 1.1. In this case we say that f has finite height. If on the other hand the reduction of f is zero we say it has infinite height.

Proposition 6.2.1. *f is an isogeny if and only if f has finite height. Moreover, in this situation $|\ker f| = p^h$.*

Proof. If the height is infinite, the coefficients of f are divisible by a uniformizer of the local field K, so f cannot be surjective. Let $h < \infty$ and $x \in \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$ where $\mathcal{L}$ is a finite extension of $\mathcal{K}$. Consider the series $f - x$ and apply Lemma 6.2.1 with $E = \mathcal{L}$, i.e.,

$$f - x = (c_0 + \cdots + X^{p^h})(b_0 + b_1X + \cdots),$$

where c's $\in \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$, b's $\in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $b_0 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}^*$. Therefore the equation $f(X) = x$ is equivalent to the equation $c_0 + \cdots + X^{p^h} = 0$ and since the c's $\in \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$ every root belongs to $\mu_{\overline{\mathcal{K}}}$.

Moreover, the polynomial $P(X) = c_0 + \cdots + X^{p^h}$ is separable because $f'(X) = \pi t(X)$, $t(X) = 1 + \ldots$ is an invertible series and $f' = P'(b_0 + b_1X + \cdots) + P(b_0 + b_1X + \cdots)'$ so P' can not vanish at a zero of P. We conclude that P has p^h roots, i.e., $|\ker\ f| = p^h$.

□

Proposition 6.2.2. *Denote by j the degree of inertia of $S/\mathbb{Q}_p$ and by h_1 the height of $f = [\pi]_F$. Then j divides h_1, namely $h_1 = jh$. Let κ_n be the kernel of $f^{(n)}$. Then*

$$\kappa_n \simeq (C/\pi^n C)^h \quad \text{and} \quad \varprojlim \kappa_n \simeq C^h,$$

as C-modules. This h is called the height of the formal group with respect to $C = \mathcal{O}_S$.

Proof. cf. [12] Proposition 2.3. □

Remark 6.2.1. *Notice that since the coefficients of F are in the local field K then $\kappa_n \subset \overline{K}$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

6.2.3. *The logarithm of the formal group.* We define the logarithm of the formal group F to be the series

$$l_F = \int_0^X \frac{dX}{F_X(0,X)}$$

Observe that since $F_X(0,X) = 1 + \cdots \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]^*$ then l_F has the form

$$X + \frac{a_2}{2}X^2 + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{n}X^n + \cdots$$

where $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

Proposition 6.2.3. *Let E be a field of characteristic 0 that is complete with respect to a discrete valuation, $\mathcal{O}_E$ the valuation ring of E with maximal ideal μ_E and valuation v_E. Consider a formal group F over $\mathcal{O}_E$, then*

(1) The formal logarithm induces a homomorphism

$$l_F : F(\mu_E) \to E$$

with the additive group law on E.

(2) The formal logarithm induces the isomorphism

$$l_F : F(\mu_E^r) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_E^r$$

for all $r \geq [v_E(p)/(p-1)] + 1$ and

$$v_E(l(x)) = v(x) \quad (\ \forall x \in \mu_E^r\ ).$$

In particular, this holds for $\mu_{E,1} = \{x \in E :\ v_E(x) > v_E(p)/(p-1) + 1\ \}$.

Proof. [20] IV Theorem 6.4 and Lemma 6.3. □

Lemma 6.2.2. *Let E and v_E as in the previous proposition. Then*

$$v_E(n!) \leq \frac{(n-1)v_E(p)}{p-1},$$

and $v_E(x^n/n!) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$ for $x \in \mu_{E,1}$.

Proof. The first assertion can be found in [20] IV. Lemma 6.2. For the second one notice that

$$\begin{aligned} v_E(x^n/n!) \ \geq\ nv_E(x) - v(n!) \geq\ nv_E(x) - (n-1)\frac{v_E(p)}{p-1} \\ =\ v_E(x) + (n-1)\left(v_E(x) - \frac{v_E(p)}{p-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since we are assuming that $x \in \mu_{E,1}$, i.e., $v_E(x) > v_E(p)/(p-1)$, then $v_E(x^n/n!) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. □

Lemma 6.2.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a d-dimensional local field containing the local field K, $g(X) = a_1X + \frac{a_2}{2}X^2 + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{n}X^n + \cdots$ and $h(X) = a_1X + \frac{a_2}{2!}X^2 + \cdots + \frac{a_n}{n!}X^n + \cdots$ with $a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Then g and h define, respectively, maps $g : \mu_{\mathcal{L}} \to \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $h : \mu_{\mathcal{L},1} \to \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$ that are sequentially continuous in the Parshin topology.*

Proof. We may assume $\mathcal{L}$ is a standard d-dimensional local field. Let $\mathcal{V}_{\{V_i\}}$ be a basic neighborhood of zero that we can consider to be a subgroup of $\mathcal{L}$, and let $c > 0$ such that $P_{\mathcal{L}}(c) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\{V_i\}}$. If $x_n \in \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$ for all n, then there exists an $N_1 > 0$ such that $v_{\mathcal{L}}(x_n^i/i), v_{\mathcal{L}}(x^i/i) > c$ for all $i > N_1$ and all n; because $iv_{\mathcal{L}}(x_n) - v(i) \geq iv_{\mathcal{L}}(x_n) - \log_p(i) \geq i - \log_p(i) \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$. On the other hand, if $x_n \in \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$ for all n, then there exists an $N_2 > 0$ such that $v_{\mathcal{L}}(x_n^i/i!), v_{\mathcal{L}}(x^i/i!) > c$ for all $i > N_2$ and all n by Lemma 6.2.2. Then, for $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, we have

$$\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} a_i \frac{x_n^i - x^i}{i}, \ \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} a_i \frac{x_n^i - x^i}{i!} \in P_{\mathcal{L}}(c) \subset \mathcal{V}_{\{V_j\}}.$$

Now, since multiplication is sequentially continuous and $x_n \to x$ then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \frac{x_n^i - x^i}{i} \to 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \frac{x_n^i - x^i}{i!} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

Thus for n large enough we have that

$$g(x_n) - g(x), \ h(x_n) - h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} + \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{V}_{\{V_i\}}.$$

□

Remark 6.2.2. *In particular,* $\log : \mu_{\mathcal{L}} \to \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$, $l_F : \mu_{\mathcal{L}} \to \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$ *and* $\exp_F = l_F^{-1} : \mu_{\mathcal{L},1} \to \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$ *are sequentially continuous.*

6.3. **Proofs of propositions and lemmas in section 2.**

Proof of Proposition 2.1.1. To simplify the notation we will assume $m = 2$.

(1) Noticing that $(1-a)/(1-1/a) = -a$ it follows that

$$\{a, -a\} = \{a, 1-a\}\{a, 1-1/a\}^{-1} = \{a, 1-1/a\}^{-1} = \{1/a, 1-1/a\} = 1$$

(2) This follows immedeately from the previous item

$$\{a, b\}\{b, a\} = \{-b, b\}\{a, b\}\{b, a\}\{-a, a\} = \{-ab, b\}\{-ab, a\} = \{-ab, ab\} = 1$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.1.1 (2). Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a finite abelian extension of $\mathcal{L}$, thus $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}^{ab}/\mathcal{M})$ is an open neighborhood of $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{ab}$. Let x_n be a convergent sequence to the zero element of $K_d(\mathcal{L})$. Since $N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(K_d^{top}(\mathcal{M}))$ is a open subgroup of $K_d^{top}(\mathcal{L})$ by Proposition 2.1.3, then

$$\overline{x_n} \in N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(K_d^{top}(\mathcal{M})) \quad (n >> 0),$$

where $\overline{x_n}$ is the image of x_n in $K_d^{top}(\mathcal{L})$. Thus, there exist $y_n \in K_d(\mathcal{M})$ and $\beta_n \in \Lambda_m(\mathcal{L})$ such that

$$x_n = \beta_n N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(y_n) \quad (n >> 0).$$

From equation (6) we have that $\beta_n \in \cap_{l \geq 1} lK_d(\mathcal{L})$ which implies that $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(\beta_n)$ is the identity element in $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{ab}$ (because $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{ab}$ is a profinite group). Therefore

$$\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(x_n) = \Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(y_n)) \quad (n >> 0),$$

but the element on the right hand side of this equality is the identity on $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{L}^{ab}/\mathcal{M})$ by the second item of this Theorem. It follows that $\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(x_n)$ converges to the identity element of $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{ab}$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.2.1. The first 5 properties follow from the definition of the pairing and Theorem 2.1.1.

Let us prove property 7. Let $f^{(n)}(z) = y$ an take a finite Galois extension $\mathcal{N} \supset \mathcal{M}(z)$ over $\mathcal{L}$. Let $G = G(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{L})$ and $H = G(\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{M})$, $w = [G : H]$, and $V : G/G' \to H/H'$ the transfer homomorphism. Let $g = \Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(a)$, then by Theorem 2.1.1 we have $V(\Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(a)) = \Upsilon_{\mathcal{M}}(a)$. The explicit computation of V at $g \in G$ proceeds as follows (cf. [19] § 3.5). Let $\{c_i\}$ be a set of representatives of for the right cosets of H in G, i.e., $G = \sqcup\, Hc_i$. Then for each c_i, $i = 1, \dots w$ there exist a c_j such that $c_i g c_j^{-1} = h_i \in H$ and no two c_j's are equal; this is because $c_i g$ belongs to one and only one of the right cosets Hc_j. Then

$$V(g) = \prod_{i=1}^{w} h_i.$$

Also, notice that since $gc_j^{-1} = c_i^{-1} h_i$ then

$$h_i(z) \ominus z = c_i^{-1}(h_i(z) \ominus z) = g(c_j^{-1}(z)) \ominus c_i^{-1}(z).$$

So we have

$$(a, y)_{\mathcal{M},n} = \Upsilon_{\mathcal{M}}(a)(z) \ominus z = V(g)(z) \ominus z = (\prod_{i=1}^{w} h_i)z \ominus z = \oplus_{i=1}^{w}(h_i(z) \ominus z)$$

$$= \oplus_{i=1}^{w}(g(c_j^{-1}(z)) \ominus c_i^{-1}(z)) = g(\oplus_{i=1}^{w} c_j^{-1}(z)) \ominus (\oplus_{i=1}^{w} c_j^{-1}(z)) = (a, N^F_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(y))_{\mathcal{L},n}$$

the last equality being true since $g = \Upsilon_{\mathcal{L}}(a)$ and

$$f^{(n)}(\oplus_{i=1}^{w} c_j^{-1}(z)) = \oplus_{i=1}^{w} c_j^{-1}(y) = N^F_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(y).$$

□

Proof of Proposition 2.4.1. First, the coefficients of s are in $\mathcal{O}_K$ because $s^\sigma = s$ for every $\sigma \in G_K = Gal(\overline{K}/K)$. Now, applying Lemma 6.2.1 to s and $f^{(n)}$, we get $s = Ps_1$ and $f^{(n)} = Qf_1$, where P and Q is a monic polynomials and $s_1, f_1 \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X]]^*$. Since $s(F(X, v)) = s(X)$ for all $v \in \kappa_n$, then $P(v) = 0$ for all $v \in \kappa_n$ and so $Q = \prod_{v \in \kappa_n}(X - v)$ divides P. This implies that s is divisible by $f^{(n)}$, i.e., $s = f^{(n)}(a_0 + a_1 X + \cdots)$. In particular,

$$s - f^{(n)}.a_0 = f^{(n)}.(\, a_1 X + \cdots).$$

But from $s(F(X, v)) = s(X)$ we see that $a_1 X + \cdots$ must satisfy the same property and so $a_1 v + \cdots = 0$, for all $v \in \kappa_n$. Therefore this series is also divisible by $f^{(n)}$ and repeating the process we get $s = r_g(f^{(n)})$. Let us compute now $c(r_g)$. Taking the logarithmic derivative on s and then multiplying by X we get

$$\frac{s'(X)}{s(X)} X = \sum_{v \in \kappa_n} \frac{g'(F(X, v)) F_X(X, v) X}{g(F(X, v))},$$

which implies

$$\frac{s'(0)}{\prod_{0 \neq v \in \kappa_n} g(v)} = g'(0),$$

From $s' = r_g'(f^{(n)}) f^{(n)'}$ we obtain

$$r_g'(0) = \frac{s'(0)}{f^{(n)'}(0)} = \frac{c(g) \prod_{0 \neq v \in \kappa_n} g(v)}{\pi^n}.$$

Each $g(v)$ is associated to v, $0 \neq v \in \kappa_n$, then $\prod_{0\neq v\in\kappa_n} g(v)$ is associated to $\prod_{0\neq v\in\kappa_n} v$, but the latter is associated to π^n from the equation $f = Pf_1$. Then $c(r_g) \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$. Finally, we will show that $(\{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, r_g(x), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d\}, x) = 0$. Let L be a local field containing κ_n, $\mathcal{L} = L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$, $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L}})$ and z such that $f^{(n)}(z) = x$. Then

$$r_g(x) = \prod_{v\in\kappa_n} g(z +_F v) = \prod_i N_{\mathcal{L}(z)/\mathcal{L}}(g(z_i)),$$

where the z_i are pairwise non-conjugate over $\mathcal{L}$ distinct roots of $f^{(n)}(X) = x$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, r_g(x), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d\} &= \{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, N_{\mathcal{L}(z)/\mathcal{L}}(\prod_i g(z_i)), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d\}\\ &= N_{\mathcal{L}(z)/\mathcal{L}}(\{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}, \prod_i g(z_i), a_{i+1}, \dots, a_d\}),\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from Proposition 2.1.2 (1) and (4). The result now follows from Proposition 2.2.1. □

6.4. **Proofs of Section 3.**

Proof of Proposition 3.1.1. The proof is done by induction in d. If $d = 1$ the result is known. Suppose the result is true for $d \geq 1$ and let $\mathcal{L} = E\{\{T_d\}\}$ where $E = L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$.

Let $\phi : \mathcal{L} \to S$ be a sequentially continuous C-linear map and define, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sequentially continuous map $\phi_i(x) = \phi(xT_d^i)$ for all $x \in E$. Then clearly $\phi_i \in \mathrm{Hom}_C(E, S)$ and by the induction hypothesis we know that there exists an $a_{-i} \in E$ such that $\phi(xT_d^i) = \mathbb{T}_{E/S}(a_{-i}x)$ for all $x \in E$. Let $\alpha = \sum a_i T_d^i$, we must show that

I. $\min\{v_E(a_i)\} > -\infty$.
II. $v_E(a_{-i}) \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$ (i.e., conditions (I) and (II) imply that $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}$).
III. $\phi(x) = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(\alpha x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{L}$.

For any $x = \sum x_i T^i \in \mathcal{L}$ we have, by the sequential continuity of ϕ that

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \phi(x_i T_d^i) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{T}_{E/S}(a_{-i}x_i). \tag{56}$$

Suppose (I) was not true, then there exist a subsequence $\{a_{n_k}\}$ such that $v_E(a_{n_k}) \to -\infty$ as $n_k \to \infty$ or as $n_k \to -\infty$. In the first case we take an $x = \sum x_i T_d^i \in \mathcal{L}$ such that x_i is equal to $1/a_{n_k}$ if $i = -n_k$ and 0 if $i \neq -n_k$. So $a_{-i}x_i$=1 if $i = -n_k$ and 0 if $i \neq -n_k$. Then the sum on the right of (56) would not converge. In the second case we take x_i to be equal to $1/a_{n_k}$ if $i = -n_k$ and 0 if $i \neq -n_k$. So $a_{-i}x_i = 1$ if $i = -n_k$ and 0 if $i \neq -n_k$ and again the sum on the right would not converge.

Suppose (II) was not true. Then $v_L(a_{n_k}) < M$ for some positive integer M and a of negative integers $n_k \to -\infty$. Then take $x = \sum x_i T_d^i \in \mathcal{L}$ such that x_i is equal to $1/a_{n_k}$ for $i = -n_k$ and 0 for $i \neq -n_k$. So $a_{-i}x_i = 1$ if $i = -n_k$ and 0 if $i \neq -n_k$ and the sum on the right of (56) would not converge.

Finally, (III) follows by noticing that by (I) and (II) the sum $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{-i}x_i$ converges and

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Tr}_{L/S}(a_{-i}x_i) = \mathrm{Tr}_{L/S}(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{-i}x_i) = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(x\alpha).$$

□

Proof of equation (14). By induction on d. For $d = 1$ this is proven in [12] §4.1. Suppose it is true for $d \geq 1$, and let $\mathcal{L} = E_d\{\{T_d\}\}$, where $E_d = L\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$. If $x = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} x_i T_d^i \in R_{\mathcal{L},1}$, then since $\mu_{E_d,1} \subset \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$ we have that also $\mu_{E_d,1}T_d^{-i} \subset \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}$ and

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(x\ \mu_{E_d,1}T_d^{-i}) \subset C,$$

which implies $\mathbb{T}_{E_d/S}(x_i\mu_{E_d,1}) \subset C$, since $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S} = \mathbb{T}_{E_d/S} \circ c_{\mathcal{L}/E_d}$. By induction hypothesis we have $v_{E_d}(x_i) \geq -v_L(D(L/S)) - \lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1)\rfloor - 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, therefore

$$v_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \min v_{E_d}(x_i) \geq -v_L(D(L/S)) - \lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1)\rfloor - 1.$$

Conversely, if $v_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \min v_{E_d}(x_i) \geq -v_L(D(L/S)) - \lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1)\rfloor - 1$, then $v_{E_d}(x_i) \geq -v_L(D(L/S)) - \lfloor v_L(p)/(p-1)\rfloor - 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, by the induction hypothesis $\mathbb{T}_{E_d/S}(x_i\mu_{E_d,1}) \subset C$ for all $i \in Z$, and therefore

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(x\mu_{\mathcal{L},1}) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(x_i T_d^i\ \mu_{\mathcal{L},1}) = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{T}_{E_d/S}(x_i\ \mu_{E_d,1}) \subset C.$$

Thus, identity (14) holds. □

Proof of Proposition 3.3.2.

(1) By Lemma 3.2.3 and the fact that $\mu_{\mathcal{L},1} \subset \mu_{\mathcal{M},1}$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(R_{\mathcal{M},1})\mu_{\mathcal{L},1}) = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(R_{\mathcal{M},1}\mu_{\mathcal{L},1})) \subset \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}/S}(R_{\mathcal{M},1}\mu_{\mathcal{M},1}) \subset C,$$

from which follows that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(R_{\mathcal{M},1}) \subset R_{\mathcal{L},1}$. Now by Proposition 2.2.1 (4) we have, for $b \in K_d(\mathcal{M})$ and $x \in F(\mu_{\mathcal{L},1})$, that

$$(N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(b), x)_{\mathcal{L},n} = (b, x)_{\mathcal{M},n} = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{M}/S}(\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(b)l(x)) = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(b))l(x)).$$

It follows from Proposition 3.3.1 that

$$\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},m}(N_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(b)) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{M}/\mathcal{L}}(\psi^i_{\mathcal{M},m}(b)).$$

(2) This is proved in a similar fashion to the previous property but this time using Proposition 2.2.1 (7).

(3) This follows from Proposition 3.3.1 and Proposition 2.2.1 (5). Indeed, since $e^i_n = f^{(m-n)}(e^i_m)$ and $(a,x)_n = (a, f^{(m-n)}(x))_m$ we get

$$\pi^{m-n}(a,x)^i_n = (a, f^{(m-n)}(x))^i_m \pmod{\pi^m C}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{m-n}\ \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},n}(a)\ l_F(x)) =& \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},m}(a)\ l_F(f^{(m-n)}(x)))\\ =& \pi^{m-n}\ \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{L}/S}(\psi^i_{\mathcal{L},m}(a)\ l_F(x)) \pmod{\pi^m C}\end{aligned}$$

Upon dividing by π^{m-n} the result follows.

(4) This property follows from Proposition 2.2.1 (8) and $l_{\tilde{F}}(t) = t'(0)l_F$.

□

Proof of Lemma 3.5.1. By induction on d. For $d = 1$, the result follows since k_L is perfect. Suppose it is proved for $R = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_d}$, where $\mathcal{L}_d = L\{\{T_1\}\}\ldots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$. Let

$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_d\{\{T_d\}\}$ and $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$. Then $x \equiv \sum_{j\geq m} a_j T_d^j \pmod{\pi_L}$, $a_j \in R$. Thus,by the induction hypotheses

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv \sum_{0\leq i_d<p^n} T_d^{i_d}\left(\sum_{m\leq i_d+kp^n} a_{i_d+kp^n} T_d^{kp^n}\right) \pmod{\pi_L} \\ &\equiv \sum_{0\leq i_d<p^n} T_d^{i_d}\left(\sum_{m\leq i_d+kp^n}\left(\sum_{0\leq i_1,\ldots,i_{d-1}<p^n} \gamma^{p^n}_{i_1,\ldots,i_{d-1},i_d;k}\, T_1^{i_1}\cdots T_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}}\right) T_d^{kp^n}\right) \pmod{\pi_L} \\ &\equiv \sum_{0\leq i_d<p^n} T_1^{i_1}\cdots T_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}} T_d^{i_d}\left(\sum_k\left(\sum_{0\leq i_1,\ldots,i_{d-1}<p^n} \gamma^{p^n}_{i_1,\ldots,i_{d-1},i_d;k}\, T_d^{kp^n}\right)\right) \pmod{\pi_L} \\ &\equiv \sum_{0\leq i_1,\ldots,i_d<p^n} \gamma^{p^n}_{i_1,\ldots,i_d}\, T_1^{i_1}\cdots T_d^{i_d} \pmod{\pi_L} \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} = \sum_k \gamma_{i_1,\ldots,i_{d-1},i_d;k}\, T_d^k$ and regrouping terms is valid since the series are absolutely convergent in the Parshin topology. Also by noticing that the congruence

$$\textstyle\sum_{c\leq k} b_k^{p^n} T^{kp^n} \equiv \left(\sum_{c\leq k} b_k T_d^k\right)^{p^n} \pmod{\pi_L},$$

holds in $k_{\mathcal{L}_{d-1}}((T_d))$, where $k_{\mathcal{L}_{d-1}}$ is the residue field of $\mathcal{L}_{d-1}$. □

Proof of Corollary 3.5.3. This follows from Proposition 3.5.4 and Proposition 3.5.1 (3). Indeed, let us illustrate the proof in the case $d = 2$, i.e., $\mathcal{L} = L\{T_1\}\}$ and $T_2 = \pi_L$. To simplify the notation we will denote $D^i_{\mathcal{L},n}$ by D. From Proposition 3.5.4 we have

(57)

$$\begin{aligned} D(\eta_1(T_1,T_2),\eta_2(T_1,T_2)) = &\frac{\partial\eta_1}{\partial X_1}\frac{\partial\eta_2}{\partial X_1}\Big|_{\substack{X_i=T_i,\\ i=1,2}} D(T_1,T_1) + \frac{\partial\eta_1}{\partial X_1}\frac{\partial\eta_2}{\partial X_2}\Big|_{\substack{X_i=T_i,\\ i=1,2}} D(T_1,T_2) \\ &+\frac{\partial\eta_1}{\partial X_2}\frac{\partial\eta_2}{\partial X_1}\Big|_{\substack{X_i=T_i,\\ i=1,2}} D(T_2,T_1) + \frac{\partial\eta_1}{\partial X_2}\frac{\partial\eta_2}{\partial X_2}\Big|_{\substack{X_i=T_i,\\ i=1,2}} D(T_2,T_2) \end{aligned}$$

But $D(T_1,T_1) = D(T_2,T_2) = 0$, $D(T_2,T_1) = -D(T_1,T_2)$ from Proposition 3.5.1 (3), therefore

$$D(\eta_1(T_1,T_2),\eta_2(T_1,T_2)) = \left(\frac{\partial\eta_1}{\partial X_1}\frac{\partial\eta_2}{\partial X_2} - \frac{\partial\eta_1}{\partial X_2}\frac{\partial\eta_2}{\partial X_1}\right)\Big|_{\substack{X_i=T_i,\\ i=1,2}} D(T_2,T_1)). \tag{58}$$

The corollary follows. □

6.5. **Proofs of Section 4.**

Proof of Proposition 4.1.1. To simplify the notation let us denote $\hat{\Omega}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}})$ by $\hat{\Omega}$, where $\Omega = \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}})$. We will show that

$$\frac{\Omega}{\pi_L^n\Omega} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}}{\pi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}}{\pi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}} \oplus \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}}{\pi^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} + D(L/K)\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}} \tag{59}$$

for all $n \geq 1$. These isomorphisms are compatible: $\simeq_{n+1} \equiv \simeq_n \pmod{p^n}$, then we can take the projective limit $\varprojlim$ to obtain the result. To prove (59), we will start by showing that $\Omega/\pi_L^n\Omega$ is generated by $d\pi_L$ and $dT_1,\ldots,dT_{d-1}$ for all n.

Let $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$, then by corollary 3.5.2, we have that

$$x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{0 \le i_1, \dots, i_{d-1} < p^n} \gamma_{i,k}^{p^n} \, T_1^{i_1} \cdots T_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}} \pi_L^k \right).$$

Therefore, in $\Omega / p^n \Omega$, we can consider the truncated sum

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \left(\sum_{0 \le i_1, \dots, i_{d-1} < p^n} \gamma_{i,k}^{p^n} \, T_1^{i_1} \cdots T_{d-1}^{i_{d-1}} \pi_L^k \right),$$

where m is such that $p^n | \pi^{m+1}$. Thus, dx is generated by $d\pi_L$ and dT_i, $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ in $\Omega / p^n \Omega$.

Let us construct now the isomorphism (59). Let D_k for $k = 0, \dots, d-1$ be the derivations defined in (31). Define the map

$$\partial : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} \to \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}}{p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} + D(L/K)\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}} \oplus \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}}{p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}}{p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}}$$

by

$$a \to (\overline{D_0}(a), \dots, \overline{D_{d-1}}(a))$$

where $\overline{D_k}$ is the reduction of D_k. This is a well-defined derivation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$ over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and by the universality of Ω, this induces a homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$-modules

$$\partial : \frac{\Omega}{p^n \Omega} \to \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}}{p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} + D(L/K)\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}}{p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}}.$$

Let us show that ∂ is an isomorphism. Indeed, it is clearly surjective since for $(a_0, \dots, a_{d-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} \oplus (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} / D(L/K)\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}})$ we have that

$$\partial(a_0 d\pi_L + a_1 dT_1 + \cdots a_{d-1} dT_{d-1}) = (a_0, \dots, a_{d-1}).$$

since

$$\overline{D_k}(d\pi_L) = \begin{cases} 0, & k = 0, \\ 1, & 1 \le k \le d-1, \end{cases} \qquad \overline{D_k}(dT_i) = \begin{cases} 0, & k \ne i, \\ 1, & k = i, \end{cases}$$

Also, ∂ is injective for if $a = a_0 d\pi_L + a_1 dT_1 + \cdots a_{d-1} dT_{d-1} \in \Omega / p^n \Omega$ is such that $\partial(a) = 0$, then $\overline{D_k}(a) = a_k = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} / p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$, for $1 \le k \le d-1$, and $\overline{D_0}(a) = a_0 = 0 \pmod{p^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}} + D(L/K)\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}}$. But then $a_0 d\pi_L = 0$, since $D(L/K) d\pi_L = 0$, and therefore $a = 0 \mod p^n \Omega$. This concludes the proof. □

6.6. **Proofs of Section 5.**

Proof of Remark 5.1.1. Let $k = m - n$. Then $f^{(k)\prime}$ is divisible by π^k, which implies that every term $a_i X^i$ of the series $f^{(k)}$ satisfies $v(a_i) + v(i) \ge k/\varrho$. If $v(a_i) > 1/(p-1)$ then there is nothing to prove. If on the other hand $v(a_i) \le 1/(p-1)$ then $v(i) \ge k/\varrho - 1/(p-1)$. In this case

$$v(x^i) \ge \frac{i}{v_L(p)} \ge \frac{p^{\frac{k}{\varrho} - \frac{1}{p-1}}}{v_L(p)} > \frac{1}{p-1}$$

for all $x \in \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$, since $k/\varrho - 1/(p-1) > \log_p(v_L(p)/(p-1))$. Then

$$v(f^{(k)}(x)) > \frac{1}{p-1}$$

for all $x \in \mu_{\mathcal{L}}$. □

Proof of Proposition 5.2.2. We begin by taking a representative $\lambda_{i,j}$ of $c_{\beta:i,j}$ in $R_{\mathcal{K}_t,1}$. We have to show that

$$\lambda_{i,j} \in a_j R'_{\mathcal{K}_t,1} + \left(\frac{\pi^k}{\pi_t}\right) R'_{\mathcal{K}_t,1}. \tag{60}$$

Let $M \supset K_t$, π_M and π_t uniformizers for M and K_t, respectively, and $\mathcal{M} = M\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$, $\mathcal{K}_t = \mathcal{K}_t\{\{T_1\}\}\cdots\{\{T_{d-1}\}\}$. Let $b \in \mathcal{O}_M$ such that $d\pi_t = bd\pi_M$; this exist by Proposition 4.1.3. Then $D(M/K_t) = b\mathcal{O}_M$. Set $\beta_j = ba_j \in \mathcal{O}_M$. Clearly, $de_t^j = \beta_j d\pi_M$. By Proposition 5.1.1,

$$D^i_{\mathcal{M},k} : \mathcal{O}^d_{\mathcal{M}} \to R_{\mathcal{M},1}/(\pi^k/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}$$

is a d-dimensional derivation over $\mathcal{O}_K$, which together with Proposition 5.2.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} r'(e_t^j)\ \beta_j\ D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}(T_1,\dots,T_{d-1},\pi_M) &= D^i_{\mathcal{M},k}(T_1,\dots,T_{d-1},r(e_t^j)) \\ &= -r'(e_t^j)\ T_1\cdots T_{d-1}\ \frac{\overline{c}_{\beta:i,j}}{l'(e_t^j)} \pmod{(\pi^k/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\overline{c}_{\beta:i,j}$ is the image of $c_{\beta:i,j}$ under the map $R_{\mathcal{K}_t,1}/\pi^k R_{\mathcal{K}_t,1} \to R_{\mathcal{M},1}/\pi^k R_{\mathcal{M},1}$; $R_{\mathcal{K}_t,1} \subset R_{\mathcal{M},1}$. This identity implies

$$\lambda_{i,j} \in \beta_j R_{\mathcal{M},1} + \left(\frac{\pi^k}{\pi_M}\right) R_{\mathcal{M},1}. \tag{61}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathcal{M}}(\lambda_{i,j}) &\geq \min\{\ v_{\mathcal{M}}(\beta_j R_{\mathcal{M},1})\ ,\ v_{\mathcal{M}}\left(\ (\pi^k/\pi_M)R_{\mathcal{M},1}\ \right)\ \} \\ &\geq \min\left\{ v_{\mathcal{M}}(\beta_j) - v_{\mathcal{M}}(D(M/S)) - \frac{e(M)}{p-1} - 1\ , \right. \\ &\qquad \left. v_{\mathcal{M}}(\frac{\pi^k}{\pi_M}) - v_{\mathcal{M}}(D(M/S)) - \frac{e(M)}{p-1} - 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

We will further assume that M is the local field obtained by adjoining to K_t the roots of the Eisenstein polynomial $X^n - \pi_t$, $(n,p) = 1$. Then $e(M/\mathbb{Q}_p) = n\, e(K_t/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $D(M/K_t) = n\pi_M^{n-1} = \pi_t/\pi_M$.

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathcal{M}}(\lambda_{i,j}) \geq \min\{\ & v_{\mathcal{M}}(a_j) - v_{\mathcal{M}}(D(K_t/S)) - \frac{e(M)}{p-1} - 1\ , \\ & v_{\mathcal{M}}(\frac{\pi^k}{\pi_t}) - v_{\mathcal{M}}(D(K_t/S)) - \frac{e(M)}{p-1} - 1\ \} \end{aligned}$$

Dividing everything by $e(M/K_t) = n$ and noticing that $v_{\mathcal{M}}(x) = e(M/K_t)v_{\mathcal{K}_t}(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{K}_t$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathcal{K}_t}(\lambda_{i,j}) \geq \min\{\ & v_{\mathcal{K}_t}(a_j) - v_{\mathcal{K}_t}(D(K_t/S)) - \frac{e(K_t)}{p-1} - \frac{1}{n}\,, \\ & v_{\mathcal{K}_t}(\frac{\pi^k}{\pi_{K_t}}) - v_{\mathcal{K}_t}(D(K_t/S)) - \frac{e(K_t)}{p-1} - \frac{1}{n}\ \} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathcal{K}_t}(\lambda_{i,j}) \geq \min\big\{\ & v_{\mathcal{K}_t}(a_j) - v_{\mathcal{K}_t}(D(K_t/S)) - e(K_t)/(p-1), \\ & v_{\mathcal{K}_t}(\pi^k/\pi_t) - v_{\mathcal{K}_t}(D(K_t/S)) - e(K_t)/(p-1)\ \big\} \end{aligned}$$

which implies (60). □

References

[1] Artin, E., and Hasse, H., *Die beiden Ergänzungssätze zum Reziprozitätsgesetz der l^n-ten Potenzreste im Körper der l^n-ten Einheitswurzeln*. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **6** (1928), 146-162.

[2] J.W.S. Cassels and A. Frolich *Algebraic Number Theory*. Proc. Instructional Conf. (Brighton, 1965), 2nd Edition London Mathematical Society 2010.

[3] I. B. Fesenko, *Abelian extensions of complete discrete valuation fields*, Séminarie de Théorie des Nombres Paris (1933-1934). LMS **235**, 47-75.

[4] I. B. Fesenko, *Sequential topologies and quotients of Milnor K-groups of higher local fields*, (Russian) Algebra i Analiz 13 (2001), no. 3, 198221; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 13 (2002), no. 3, 485501.

[5] I.B. Fesenko, and S. V. Vostokov, *Local fields and their extensions*, second ed.,vol. 121 of Translations of Mathematical Monographs. AMS, Providence, RI, 2002.

[6] I. Fesenko and M. Kurihara, *Invitation to Higher Local Fields*. Geometry and Topology Monographs, 2000.

[7] J. Florez, *Explicit reciprocity laws for higher local fields. II.* , in preparation.

[8] P. Gille, and Szamuely, T. *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, vol. 101 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[9] K. Iwasawa, *On explicit formulas for the norm residue symbol*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **20** (1968), 151-164.

[10] K. Kato, *A generalization of local class field theory by using K-groups II*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. Volume 54, Number 8 (1978), 250-255.

[11] K. Kato, *Generalized explicit reciprocity laws*. Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. (Pusan) **1** (1999), 57-126.

[12] V. Kolyvagin, *Formal Groups and the Norm Residue Symbol*, Math. USSR Izv. **15** (1980), 289-348.

[13] M. Kurihara, *The exponential homomorphism for the Milnor K-groups and an explicit reciprocity law*, L. Reine Angew., **498** (1998), 201-221.

[14] M. Kurihara, *Two types of complete discrete valuation fields.*, Geometry & Topology Monographs, Volume 3, Part I, section 12, pages 109-112.

[15] S. Lang, *Algebra*. 2nd Edition. Springer 2005

[16] J. Milnor, *Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms*. Invent. Math. **9** (1970), 318-344.

[17] M. Morrow, *An introduction to higher dimensional local fields and adeles*. 2012.

[18] A. N. Parshin *Local class field theory*. Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **165** (1984), 143-170; English transl. in Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 1985, no. 4(165).

[19] W. R. Scott, *Group theory*. Dover 1987.

[20] J. Silverman, *Advanced topics in the arithmetic of elliptic curves*.2nd Edition. Springer. 2009

[21] S. V. Vostokov, *Explicit construction of class field theory for a multidimensional local field*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. (1985) no.2; English translation in Math. USSR Izv. **26**(1986), 263288.

[22] S. V. Vostokov, *Hilbert pairing on a multidimensional complete field*. Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklova, (1995); English translation in Proc. Steklov Inst. of Math. **208**(1995), 7283

[23] Wiles, A. *Higher explicit reciprocity laws*. Ann. of Math. **107** (1978), no. 2, 235-254.

[24] A. N. Zinoviev, *Generalized Artin-Hasse and Iwasawa formulas for the Hilbert symbol in a high-dimensional local field* JMS, Vol 124, No. 1, 2004.

Department of Mathematics, Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, 199 Chambers Street, New York, NY 10007, USA. jflorez@bmcc.cuny.edu